

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_94322
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of Available Micronutrients Status in The Soils of Macuata Province of Fiji
Type of the Article	Original Research

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The present study evaluates the level of available trace elements (Fe, Cu, Mn and Zn) in relation to other important soil properties. The article is well structured and coherent in its presentation of results and their discussion. Please confirm the number of soil samples taken. In the abstract it is indicated that the number of samples taken is Twenty-nine, and in the section - Soil sampling and analysis - Fifty-eight Please indicate the source of the critical values indicated in Table 2: Critical soil test values of DTPA extractable micronutrients. It is not clear whether this is due to legislation, a rating scale or otherwise. Please comment on the role of the correlation coefficient value for plant nutrition. Please determine the condition of the soils in relation to the content of available elements in the conclusion and add how this will affect the nutrition of the plants.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Veneta Stefanova
Department, University & Country	University of Forestry, Bulgaria