

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_94094
Title of the Manuscript:	Vertical distribution of Available Nutrients in Boudh Block of Boudh district Catena
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">I. The author is not taken update information of current year only taken one reference year 2018.II. In the last section, conclusion is not written. Without conclusion cannot say anything.III. In the abstract, EC & pH relationship and depth of soil relationship is not very clear.IV. Plant nutrient values are not discussion but you written in the abstract "<i>available plant nutrients and to examine the relationships between soil properties</i>" This part is missing from manuscript. Update information if possible.	
Minor REVISION comments	Author should be write conclusion part, without conclusion will not complete presented work. The references are taken very less and not updated (current)	
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• The nutrient distribution is never uniform distribution only base on less or maximum quantity. The author soil analysis well but writing is not with uniformity.• The author presented analysis work with table in good format.• The phyto-chemical analysis of soil & statistical analysis with standard methodologies are used for understanding of work.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Avadhesh Kumar Koshal
Department, University & Country	Motherhood University, India