

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_93683
Title of the Manuscript:	Genetic Variability Studies for Yield and Related Attributes in Finger millet (<i>Eleusine coracana</i>) Genotypes
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The author could make clear to the reader what were the objectives of the Article (Abstract and Introduction). Insert the keywords. Describe the meaning of the acronyms that are cited in the text (GCV, PCV). Put captions for all acronyms used in the tables.	
Minor REVISION comments	The "Material and Methods" could be a little more detailed. For example: what are the characteristics of the four environments? How were the fifteen characterizations made? Review the snippets: "Evaluation of fifty different genotypes of finger millet that were sown during <i>Kharif</i> , 2021 in Randomized Block Design with three replications with four different environments, having number of rows per plot seven with the spacing 30 cm x 10 cm." "High heritability coupled with high genetic advance was recorded for plant height, finger width, ear head weight, straw yield per plant, harvest index, 1000 grain weight and grain yield per plant for all the environments and pooled making these characters available for selection with sound direct selection parameters." Standardize references.	
Optional/General comments	The author could do a little revision in the Introduction, as some sentences have very repetitive words (Ex: grown, crop, yield).	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ana Carla Coleone de Carvalho
Department, University & Country	São Paulo State University, UNESP, Brazil

Review Form 1.6