

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Pathogen Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPR_94567
Title of the Manuscript:	ISOLATION AND IDENTIFICATION OF FUNGI ASSOCIATED WITH AVOCADO PEAR ( <i>Persea americana</i> mill)
Type of the Article	Review Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpr.com/index.php/IJPR/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>Abstract: Abstract exceeding 250 words. It is suggested that the abstract be presented with a general structure by providing background, methods, results and conclusions with method is shown more clearly. Results should be written with a more concise explanation without reducing the completeness of the result information.</p> <p>Introduction: The introduction is still an extensive literature review, it needs to be prepared in a standard paper format with the use of up-to-date literature sources.</p> <p>Conclusion: Briefly summarize the key arguments made in the body, it should not go into the detail present ideas and focus on outlining in broad strokes the argument from the result.</p> <p>Reference: The reference sources used do not show the latest literature as shown by almost 78% of journal sources that are more than 10 years from now. Please look for more up-to-date literature sources.</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Manuscripts still need to be repaired in a major way to improve the format in research paper	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Asri Peni Wulandari
Department, University & Country	University of Padjadjaran, Indonesia