

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Pathogen Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPR_94566
Title of the Manuscript:	BIOCHEMICAL SCREENING OF FLUTED PUMPKIN LEAF
Type of the Article	Review Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpr.com/index.php/IJPR/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Title missing location. The abstract is not presented in elaborate way. Unsuitable words like at the end of study, under quantitative analysis. cannot become the part of it The words used in the title cannot become key words. The introduction is very poor as scope of the study; uses growth and cultivation are not merged in introduction section, It also lacking rationale of the study. The figure is distorted, should be included a broad map, as the reader might know, where is the study are located. Materials and methods, This section also lacks focus, What was the sampling method, Did the sampling occur in total area? If not what areas were surveyed? Voucher number is not mentioned. Software's used for analysis not mentioned, In Classification no need of By sir Joseph Dalton Hooker, Kindly exclude it, Table in results not clear and not extracted	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	What is the commercial significance and outcome of your study?	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Font size lacking focus as no consistency shown in the font size in the entire manuscript. Heading and Sub headings are not bold,	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Javed Khan
Department, University & Country	Hazara University, Pakistan