

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_95123
Title of the Manuscript:	Seasonal variation in chemical composition of sewage effluent at Bhagwanpur sewage treatment plant Varanasi and it's suitability for irrigation
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. It will help the scientific community but this paper required proper formulation. Need to polish the language.</p> <p>2. Title should be reconstructed, "Study the seasonal variation in physiochemical properties of sewage effluent and its suitability as irrigation water at Bahawalpur district, Varanasi"</p> <p>3. Abstract is Comprehensive but its need to be properly written.</p> <p>4. No, Result and discussion part need to be properly written. Separate the paragraph and discussion part should be added properly with recent supporting reviews.</p> <p>5. Moderately correct</p> <p>6. References should be recent and add more references as, similar work had been already done by other people. Add current supporting references.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, English language quality is acceptable.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>1. Formulate the table properly, try to add the location GPS mapping and pictures to support the result.</p> <p>2. Mention the instrument name or procedure of physiochemical analysis of Sewage effluent.</p> <p>3. Justify the second part of the research paper related to the irrigation water suitability analysis.</p> <p>4. Add pictures of working, location image and testing samples.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kunal Adhikary
Department, University & Country	ITM University, India