

Original Research Article

EFFICACY OF DIFFERENT HERBICIDES ON WEED CONTROL AND PRODUCTIVITY OF WHEAT INCASE OF CENTRAL TELANGANA ZONE

ABSTRACT

Aim: To study the effectiveness of various herbicides for the control of weeds in wheat crop.

Study design: Randomized Block Design.

Place and Duration of Study: A field study was executed in the *rabi* seasons, 2014-15 to 2016-17 at Agricultural Research Station, Basanthpur, Telangana.

Methodology: New molecules of herbicides were tested in different combinations and compared with the traditional weed control practice through the weed parameters viz., weed density, weed dry matter, weed index and weed persistence index. The performance of wheat crop in terms of grain yield and monetary returns were recorded to attest the efficiency of different herbicide treatments.

Results: Based on the results, the pre-emergence application of pendimethalin @ 3.0 l ha⁻¹ and a hand weeding at 45 DAS is advised to obtain maximum grain yield while the post emergence application of clodinafop propargyl @ 60 g a.i. ha⁻¹ + metsulfuron methyl @ 4 g a.i. ha⁻¹ at 3 leaf stage of weed is recommended for higher economic benefits.

Conclusion: Pre-emergence application of pendimethalin @ 3.0 l ha⁻¹ followed by a hand weeding at 45 DAS can be recommended for higher wheat crop grain yield. And in case of labour shortage, one post emergence application of Clodinafop propargyl @ 60 g a.i./ha + metsulfuron methyl @ 4g a.i./ha at 3 leaf stage of weed can be the cost-effective weed management practice in the wheat crop.

Key words: Wheat, weed management, herbicide, grain yield, weed/weeds persistence

1. INTRODUCTION

Wheat crop though not a staple crop of Southern India, it has an important place as cereal food crop in Medak district of Telangana. It occupies an area of 15,400 ha in Telangana with yield and production of 746 kg ac⁻¹ and 11,488 MT [1]. Wheat in this region is mostly grown as rained resulting in severe infestation of the crop with both grassy and broad-leaved weeds accounting to around 30-70 percent loss in the grain yield. Tank mix application of Isoproturon and 2,4-D has been in practice for the control of mixed weed population in wheat for the last many years. But at present, new herbicides molecules are available for weed control in wheat which are broad spectrum in nature and control both grassy and broad-leaved weeds more effectively. The efficiency and economic viability of these

Comment [T1]: The measurement of efficacy?

Comment [T2]: What type of herbicide selective or non-selective?

Comment [T3]: You may have different races of weeds in your wheat field, so, how you can control your data?

Comment [T4]: Previously for what purpose these herbicides were released and why you need to study it again?

Comment [T5]: Number of herbicides used

Comment [T6]: Your data was too old (five years ago) even to update the recent research works. How you can update the reader in 2022

Comment [T7]: How you do monetary return?

Comment [T8]: If the herbicide have no yield advantages over hand weeding; why you need to study herbicides. If you are going to recommend these herbicides; You add the cost of herbicide to farmers.

Comment [T9]: What was the stage of wheat?

Comment [T10]: The introduction is too short to fully elaborate the research gap. You need more introduction to explain the background and justification here under introduction.

Comment [T11]: So why you need to conduct the experiment over here?

Comment [T12]: Respectively

Comment [T13]: Reference?

Comment [T14]: You have no references

herbicides on weed management and productivity of wheat in this zone needs to be evaluated. Hence, the present research was conducted in order to find out suitable herbicide for best weed control in wheat and also the economic viability of different herbicides on wheat productivity.

Comment [T15]: Need to put the reference

Comment [T16]:

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

Comment [T17]: MATERIALS

The crop was sown during the *rabi* seasons of 2014-15, 2015-16 and 2016-17 at Agricultural Research Station, Basanthpur- Mamidigi. NIDW 295 variety of wheat was sown fitting in randomized block design and replicated thrice. The soil of the experimental field was red sandy clay loam, low in available N (232.06 kg ha⁻¹), and medium in organic carbon (0.46 %), medium in available phosphorus (26.35 kg ha⁻¹) and available potassium (182.93 kg ha⁻¹) with neutral pH of 7.02. The experiment included 8 treatments i.e., T₁: Weedy; T₂: Weed free with pre-emergence application of pendimethalin @ 3.0 l ha⁻¹ + hand weeding at 45 DAS; T₃: Isoproturon @ 0.75 kg ha⁻¹ + 2, 4 - D Na Salt @ 0.8 kg ha⁻¹ (PoE); T₄: Diclofop methyl @ 0.75 kg ha⁻¹ (PoE); T₅: Sulfosulfuron @ 30.0 g a.i. ha⁻¹ (PoE); T₆: Metribuzin @ 175 g a.i. ha⁻¹ (PoE); T₇: Metsulfuron methyl @ 4 g a.i. ha⁻¹ (PoE); T₈: Clodinafop propargyl @ 60 g a.i. ha⁻¹ + metsulfuron methyl @ 4 g a.i. ha⁻¹ (PoE). The observations on the number of tillers m⁻², plant height and dry matter production were noted at regular intervals (30, 60, 90 and at harvest). Yield attributes viz., no of ears m⁻², no of grains ear⁻¹, test weight, grain yield (kg ha⁻¹), straw yield (kg ha⁻¹) were recorded at harvest. Weed density (no. m⁻²) and weed dry weight (g m⁻²) were noted at critical period of crop weed competition (45 DAS). Based on these parameters, weed control efficiency (%) and weed persistence index were derived. The experimental data was subjected to statistical analysis following the procedure for randomised block design as outlined by [4]. The significance was tested by "F" test at 5 percent level of probability [9]. Critical difference was worked out for the effects which were significant.

Comment [T18]: You are not going to be effective with only one variety. It's better to expand the research to more varieties.

Comment [T19]: In reality this amount of Nitrogen is not low. This one way has affect the weed emergency which will affect the result of your work and efficacy of the chemicals you used in this experiment.

Comment [T20]: Put your list of treatment in table.

Comment [T21]: Since some herbicides have growth hormone you need to have detail information regarding the contents of these herbicides.

Comment [T22]: Formula for your control efficiency calculation % with reference.

Comment [T23]: Randomized

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The weed density and dry matter recorded in response to weed management practices in wheat were significantly lower (27.89 and 20.26 g, respectively) when the crop was maintained weed free with pre-emergence application of pendimethalin @ 3.0 l ha⁻¹ followed by a hand weeding at 40 DAS (T₂). The highest values of weed density and dry matter on the other side were noticed when no weed management was practiced (110.25 and 71.22 g, respectively). Therefore, it can be inferred that inclusion of herbicides with one pre-emergence application followed by a manual weeding would be an appropriate agronomic measure to minimize crop-weed competition.

Comment [T24]: The author didn't put even single table that indicate the result of the experiment here under the result and discussion. Bring tables and figures under this subtitle!

Comment [T25]: Previously done references?

Comment [T26]: Reference

Among the different herbicide(s) combinations tested, application of clodinafop propargyl @ 60 g a.i. ha⁻¹ + metsulfuron methyl @ 4 g a.i. ha⁻¹ registered lower weed density (40.87) and dry matter (27.37 g). The weed density and dry matter registered by this treatment was 11.8 and 9.99 percent higher than the best treatment i.e., pre-emergence application of pendimethalin @ 3.0 l ha⁻¹ followed by a hand weeding at 40 DAS. Application of other herbicides in treatments, T₃ to T₇ recorded weed density and dry matter percentages in the range of 18.9 to 31.5 and 17.88 to 40.21, respectively higher in comparison to T₂ treatment. Therefore, the regions with shortage in labour may option for application of cladinofop propargyl @ 50 g a.i. ha⁻¹ + metsulfuron methyl @ 4 g a.i. ha⁻¹ as

Comment [T27]: Option

post emergence which is phytotoxic enough to bring down the weed population and hence dry matter to less than 50 percent. The results corroborated with the findings of [3] and are in line with [5] who reported the efficacy of 2,4-D Na salt @ 625 g ha⁻¹ (PoE), metsulfuron methyl @ 4 g ha⁻¹ (PoE), and sulfosulfuron @ 30 g ha⁻¹ + metsulfuron methyl @ 2 g ha⁻¹ (PoE) in reducing the weed density and dry weight of wheat in comparison to weedy check. Similarly, studies conducted at Palampur, Himachal Pradesh also documented that application of sulfosulfuron @ 30.0 g a.i. ha⁻¹ / sulfosulfuron @ 30.0 g a.i. ha⁻¹ + surfactant / sulfosulfuron @ 37.5 g a.i. ha⁻¹ with or without surfactant resulted in significantly lower weed dry weight and higher yield attributes and yield of wheat [8].

The ~~number of ear heads counted per m² of wheat were~~ number of ear heads counted per m² of wheat was highest when the crop was treated with pre-emergence application of pendimethalin @ 3.0 l ha⁻¹ followed by a hand weeding at 45 DAS (228). Application of clodinafop propargyl @ 60 g a.i. ha⁻¹ + metsulfuron methyl @ 4 g a.i. ha⁻¹ or metsulfuron methyl @ 4 g a.i. ha⁻¹ alone as post emergence with a deviation of 8.3 to 11.4 percent lower than T₂ may be depended in critical situations with respect to ear head count. On the other hand, lowest count of ear heads m⁻² was observed in unweeded (T₁) wheat. The length of the ear head being a genetically determined character, remained invariable for all the weed management practices. Unweeded wheat alone varied from the rest of the weed management options with lowest grain number (32) and test weight (32.51 g).

From the above results, it can be inferred that ear head number is the important yield attributing character that determines the wheat yield as both the grain and straw yields were noted to be in line with this parameter reporting highest yields (3325 and 4154 kg ha⁻¹, respectively) from the crop treated with pre-emergence application of pendimethalin @ 3.0 l ha⁻¹ followed by a hand weeding at 45 DAS. However, considering herbicide application for weed control in case of shortage of labour, application of clodinafop propargyl @ 60 g a.i. ha⁻¹ + metsulfuron methyl @ 4 g a.i. ha⁻¹ holds promise with yields closer to T₂ with a deviation of 9.4 and 7.9 percent, respectively for grain and straw yields. Lesser weed competition in view of lesser weed population during the critical period of crop weed competition, has established the crop with superior growth visible from higher yield attributing characters and hence ~~yield~~ yields. However, as an alternative to the traditional practice, application of clodinafop propargyl @ 60 g a.i. ha⁻¹ + metsulfuron methyl @ 4 g a.i. ha⁻¹ may be considered with a deviation of less than 10 per cent. The research results of [6] corroborated with the above results where the highest grain yield was obtained with the application of pendimethalin + metribuzin followed by clodinafop + metsulfuron-methyl (RM)* treatment (0.53 kg m⁻²).

Among the weed management practices, pre-emergence application of pendimethalin @ 3.0 l ha⁻¹ followed by a hand weeding at 45 DAS in spite of higher cost of cultivation (Rs. 43092 ha⁻¹) was found profitable with a benefit of Rs. 1.31 ha⁻¹ owing to higher grain yield and hence higher gross and net returns. This was followed by clodinafop propargyl @ 60 g a.i. ha⁻¹ + metsulfuron methyl @ 4 g a.i. ha⁻¹ applied as post emergence with a benefit of Rs. 1.23 ha⁻¹ (Table 5). [10] reported that clodinafop and 2,4-D applied as post-emergence herbicides resulted in significantly lower total weed density (7.8 m⁻²) and dry weight (5.1 g m⁻²), which increased grain yield and net financial returns over isoproturon, clodinafop alone, and weedy check. [2] also reported satisfactory grassy weed control and reduced

weed dry matter accumulation with the application of clodinafop @ 75 g ha⁻¹ resulting in higher wheat crop yield. It was also superior to hand weeding with effective control of grassy weeds.

Weed control efficiency due to pre-emergence application of pendimethalin @ 3.0 l ha⁻¹ followed by a hand weeding at 45 DAS was highest (71.71%) over the other treatments. However, among the post emergence herbicides, application of clodinafop propargyl @ 60 g a.i. ha⁻¹ + metsulfuron methyl @ 4 g a.i. ha⁻¹ closely followed the above treatment with a weed control efficiency of 61.68% (Fig. 1).

Weed persistence index, a measure of relative dry matter accumulation of weeds per count in comparison to control (Fig. 2) was highest (1.2) with pre-emergence application of pendimethalin @ 3.0 l ha⁻¹ followed by a hand weeding at 45 DAS indicating resistance of escaped weeds to control measures. Whereas, the weed persistence index values were lowest with application of post emergence herbicides, metribuzin @ 175 g a.i. ha⁻¹ (1.04) or clodinafop propargyl @ 60 g a.i. ha⁻¹ + metsulfuron methyl @ 4 g a.i. ha⁻¹ (1.04) indicating lower persistence of escaped weeds and broad-spectrum effect of those herbicides in controlling the weeds. The results corroborated with those of [7] who reported that clodinafop + metsulfuron gave the highest net returns due to weed control and marginal benefit-cost ratio. Clodinafop + metsulfuron methyl resulted in highest weed control efficiency (WCE), weed control index (WCI), crop resistance index (CRI), treatment efficiency index, crop intensity index and weed index.

4. CONCLUSION

Weed management is the biggest constraint in the wheat crop production. The diverse weed flora infesting the crop is reminding us the need for the use of herbicides in combination. The present study concludes that the pre-emergence application of pendimethalin @ 3.0 l ha⁻¹ followed by a hand weeding at 45 DAS can be recommended for higher wheat crop grain yield [in central Telangana](#). And in case of labour shortage, one post emergence application of Clodinafop propargyl @ 60 g a.i./ha + metsulfuron methyl @ 4g a.i./ha at 3 leaf stage of weed can be the cost-effective weed management practice in the wheat crop.

5. REFERENCES

1. Anonymous. Agriculture Action Plan 2021-22, Department of Agriculture, Government of Telangana. 2022;1-130.
2. Angiras NN, Kumar S, Rana SS, Sharma N. Standardization of dose and time of application of clodinafop-propargyl to manage weeds in wheat. Himachal Journal of Agricultural Research. 2008;34(2):15-18.
3. Jaiswal D, Reddy MD, Pandey G, Kumar A. Evaluation of Herbicides for Control of Weeds in Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences. 2020;9(5):1157-1167.
4. Panse, V.G., Sukhatme, P.V. Statistical methods of agricultural workers. 2nd Endorsement, ICAR Publication, New Delhi, India, 381; 1967.
5. Raghuvver Singh Meena, Singh MK. Weed management in late-sown zero-till Wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) with varying seed rate. Indian Journal of Agronomy. 2011;56(2):127-132.

Comment [T28]: You can recommend this results only for Central Telangana Zone since you do not have any information regarding to the soil for other sites.

Comment [T29]: Please read and follow the guideline of the journal.

6. Ramola P, Joshi HC, Guru SK. Management of Isoproturon-Resistant Phalaris minor in Wheat by Alternate Herbicides under Tarai Region Conditions. *Journal of Agricultural Science and Food Research*. 2019;10(3):1-8.
7. Rana SS, Badiyala D, Brari P. Evaluation of herbicide combinations for controlling complex weed flora in wheat. *Indian Journal of Weed Science*. 2017;49(4):335-340.
8. Saini JP, Angiras NN. Standardization of dose of sulfosulfuron (MON 37503) in controlling weeds of rainfed wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) under mid-hill conditions of Himachal Pradesh. *Indian Journal of Agronomy*. 2005;50(1):41-43.
9. Snedecor, G.W., Cochran, W.G. *Statistical methods*. Oxford and IBH Publishing Company 17, Parklane, Calcutta; 1967.
10. Vinamarta Jain, Namrata Jain, Kewat ML. Effect of application of post-emergence herbicides at different levels of available soil moisture content in irrigated wheat (*Triticum aestivum*). *Indian Journal of Agronomy*. 2014;59(1):91-95.

UNDER PEER REVIEW

Why you brought this tables and figure here?

Table 1. Weed performance, yield attributes and yield of wheat in response to weed management practices (Pooled data of three years)

Comment [T30]: Take this table to result and discussion.
Formatted Table
Comment [T31]: Result and discussion.


Table 2.
Cost
economic
s of wheat
in
response
to weed
managem
ent
practices
(Pooled
mean of
three
years)

Treatments	Weed density m ²	Weed dry matter (g m ⁻²)	No. of ear heads m ⁻²	Length of the ear head (cm)	No. of grains ear ⁻¹	Test weight (g)	Grain yield (kg ha ⁻¹)	Straw yield (kg ha ⁻¹)
T ₁ - Weedy	110.25	71.22	122.89	9.56	31.72	32.51	1464.55	2003.96
T ₂ - Weed free with pre-emergence application of pendimethalin @ 3.0 l/ha + hand weeding at 45 DAS	27.89	20.26	227.78	11.22	37.13	40.35	3325.24	4154.21
T ₃ - Isoproturon @ 0.75 kg/ha + 2, 4 - D Na Salt @ 0.8 kg/ha	71.44	50.32	149.11	10.08	33.68	34.67	1884.22	2414.65
T ₄ - Diclofop methyl @ 0.75 kg/ha.	64.10	44.18	161.62	10.20	35.16	37.73	2249.69	2789.81
T ₅ - Sulfosulfuron @ 30.0 gm a.i./ha	59.70	40.59	175.03	10.63	34.88	36.00	2454.18	3227.53
T ₆ - Metribuzin @ 175 g a.i./ha	53.34	35.85	188.54	10.93	35.68	38.94	2668.48	3436.19
T ₇ - Metsulfuron methyl @ 4g a.i./ha	48.74	32.99	201.52	11.38	36.21	37.95	2842.81	3651.85
T ₈ - Clodinafop propargyl @ 60 g a.i./ha + metsulfuron methyl @ 4gr a.i./ha	40.87	27.37	209.26	11.11	37.13	37.31	3011.24	3827.19
SEm±	2.59	1.13	6.59	0.67	1.45	1.74	74.29	107.14
C.D. (0.05)	5.55	2.45	14.13	NS	3.12	3.73	159.33	229.79


Treatments	Cost of Cultivation (Rs./ha)	Gross returns (Rs./ha)	Net Returns (Rs./ha)	Benefit - Cost ratio
------------	------------------------------	------------------------	----------------------	----------------------

T ₁ - Weedy	38550	43937	5387	0.14
T ₂ - Weed free with pre-emergence application of pendimethalin @ 3.0 l/ha + hand weeding at 45 DAS	43092	99757	56665	1.31
T ₃ - Isoproturon @ 0.75 kg/ha + 2, 4 - D Na Salt @ 0.8 kg/ha	40175	56527	16352	0.41
T ₄ - Diclofop methyl @ 0.75 kg/ha.	40925	67491	26566	0.65
T ₅ - Sulfosulfuron @ 30.0 gm a.i./ha	40425	73625	33200	0.82
T ₆ - Metribuzin @175 g a.i./ha	39925	80054	40129	1.01
T ₇ - Metsulfuron methyl @ 4g a.i./ha	40100	85284	45184	1.13
T ₈ - Clodinafop propargyl @ 60 g a.i./ha +.metsulfuron methyl @ 4gr a.i./ha	40525	90337	49812	1.23

UNDER PEER REVIEW



Comment [T32]: Take to Result and discussion.



Comment [T33]: Take to Result and discussion.

UNDE