

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_94500
Title of the Manuscript:	Analysis of spatially distributed monthly rainfall and temperature in Bangladesh
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Need improvement to this manuscript. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The abstract should include a brief introduction, objective, methods, quantitative results, discussion, and conclusion. Please improve it. - The state-of-the-art written in the introduction of the paper is improper and too brief. Please write the research gap. The introduction please improve writer's insight and plan for the problem-solving effort. Please improve it. - The discussion is not clear, only presents the results. In the discussion, none of the literature is referred to. It should be compared with other similar writing to show differences and/or similarities with this research - The literature referred is mostly over 10 years old. More recent literature is needed related to this research 	
Minor REVISION comments	Added a references with the latest research, In this manuscript there are only 3 references	
Optional/General comments	Some parts of this paper need to be improved, namely abstract improve it, the introduction by adding literature, the discussion is explained in more detail and compared to previous research, and references need to be improved.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Yelin Adalina
Department, University & Country	Indonesia