

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_94500
Title of the Manuscript:	Analysis of spatially distributed monthly rainfall and temperature in Bangladesh
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Comments (if agreed with reviewer, corrects the manuscript and highlight <i>that part in the manuscript</i> . It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The article requires clarity on the background, rationale or objectives, description of the study area, method of data collection analysis and that of results and discussions with proper details and augmentations with proper references. The study period should be revised to 1991 to 2021 to fit to the IPCC reports. It also requires proper restructuring to fit to the objectives and the theme of the study.</p> <p>The use of "we" should be avoided as this research is not an action research type rather a data based scientific report. . refinement by making the results and discussion with proper reorganization by including proper interpretations supported with recent references and triangulations with the work of others. Instead of putting results alone, the article needs to interpret the data analysed using different methods as well as the model. Repeating the data of the table with that of the figure seems to make the paper a bit boring. The table data should be in the appendices while the figures can be made for making the paper less boring to the readers than otherwise.</p> <p>The methods of data collection and analysis are mixed with that of the results and discussions. And hence, it needs properly organized way of putting the topics with their proper details and arguments.</p> <p>The title of the article should fit to the details of the study and hence it requires rewriting.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	Incorporate all comments and suggestions included in the main document through comments in the review. In the materials and methods, there is a need to incorporate the formulae with proper references and criteria of interpretations. It also required serious language and content editions to make the paper more attractive and lucrative to the readers.	
Optional/General comments	The abstract needs to be refined more than this by including an introductory background statement before the aim of the study as well as summary of recommendations at the end of it.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Comment (if agreed with reviewer, corrects the manuscript and highlights that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p> <p>I guess there is a need to acknowledge the data sources and that of the reviewers as well as the editors and the publishers in the acknowledgements section.</p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Solomon Tekalign Demissie
Department, University & Country	School of Geography and Environmental Studies, Haramaya University, Ethiopia