

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJECC_94390</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Evaluation of different Organic manures on Growth and Yield of Chia (Salvia hispanica L.)</b>
Type of the Article	

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy> )

**Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. The abstract needs to be clearly revised highlighting the main findings of the study.</li><li>2. The background of the study was not clear. Please include the importance of the treatments. Why did you select those organic amendments, there are so many kinds but why choose them?</li><li>3. Why did you choose the local variety of chia?</li><li>4. Kindly give an introduction about Jeevamrutha and Panchagavya.</li><li>5. Is there any reference for the production of Jeevamrutha and Panchagavya?</li><li>6. Please provide the chemical properties or nutrient contents of the following:<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>a. Farm Yard Manure</li><li>b. Vermicompost</li><li>c. Jeevamrutha</li><li>d. Panchagavya</li></ol></li><li>7. What is the dimension of your experimental plot?</li><li>8. Which time of the day do you apply the foliar treatments?</li><li>9. How do you collect the plant samples (i.e., plant part) for analysis. Kindly include in the methodology section.</li><li>10. Why the authors did not include other nutrients in the analysis and only considered the N, P and K uptakes?</li><li>11. If treatment means are significantly difference from each other, how do you do the comparison of means? Kindly include clearly and systematically in the methodology.</li><li>12. The results and discussion is very poor. The author must provide deep reasons of the differences and influences of the treatments. Results of this study must be validated and compared to similar studies that are already published.</li><li>13. The conclusion needs to be revised and must contain a clear recommendation as to which treatment is highly recommended.</li></ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**Review Form 1.6**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Ronley C. Canatoy</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Central Mindanao University, The Philippines</b>