

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_94278
Title of the Manuscript:	Efficacy of different levels of NPK against Anthracnose of King chilli (<i>Capsicum chinense</i>) caused by <i>Colletotrichum gloeosporioides</i> (Penz.) and impact on their growth parameters and yield in Manipur.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Data Analysis- Tables best presented in a line graph. There is no mean separation or standard deviation indicates at each data for comparison purposes and to see the significant result. Effects on height and yield should be presented in a regression analysis to see the relationship between yield, height and anthracnose incidence or at least a statistical factorial analysis. There is no mean separation or standard deviation indicates at each data for comparison purposes and to see the significant result.	
Minor REVISION comments	Need clearer picture for culture and spore .it seems like theres more debris than spores. Cannot see the cylindrical uniformity.	
Optional/General comments	I have left my corrections as well on the grammatical/English error/typos in review mode. Please check the attached reviewed document.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Farah Farhanah Haron
Department, University & Country	Malaysian Agricultural Research and Development Institute, Malaysia