

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_93760
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF MULBERRY IRRIGATED WITH TREATED SEWAGE WATER ON SILKWORMS AND COCOON PRODUCTION
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Nil	
Minor REVISION comments	Nil	
Optional/General comments	<p>The abstract of manuscript (Ms_IJECC_93760) is appearing to provide a brief summary of the review questions being addressed or rationale for the review, the major studies reviewed, conclusions drawn and therefore deserves recognition.</p> <p>Introduction: There is review of literature on the work done by earlier researchers. The topic has been introduced with the focus on significance of the attempt. Explosion of the population is definitely going to lead to water crises for irrigation by 2950. On this line, author tried best to compile the research work by past researchers. Reuse of waste water for irrigation is going to help to conserve the water, recycling of nutrients in waste water, reducing the direct application of organic fertilizers and minimizing the contamination of water bodies.</p> <p>Material and Methods: The way in which the research was conducted should has been clearly and nicely stated in the text. The text in this section is allowing the readers to understand first-hand the design, scope, data collection techniques, sample and sampling strategies, intervals of the study, among other aspects that contribute to give objective value and reliability to the results obtained. Objectives of the attempt are very clearly mentioned. The methods of the attempt are quantitative as well as qualitative.</p> <p>Results and Discussion: Enough details are presented in this section. It is going to allow the readers (and other researchers in sericulture) to determine the statistical significance. Text in this section is appearing to highlight the importance of the statistical significance or meaning of the figures and tables. The degree of variability has been nicely reported (in the form of SD).</p> <p>Conclusion: Text in this section is consistent with objectives of the attempt. study objectives/research question. Researcher tried the best to explain how the results answer the questions of the attempt.</p> <p>References: All the references cited in the text are appearing in the list of references.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.6

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Vitthalrao B. Khyade
Department, University & Country	Sharadabai Pawar Mahila Mahavidyalaya, India