

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Blood Research & Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IBRR_95094
Title of the Manuscript:	The "Starry Sky" Story: Lesson Learned From Severe Malaria Falciparum
Type of the Article	Minireview Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalibrr.com/index.php/IBRR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? yes (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? yes (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? yes 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?yes 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?yes 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. yes (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	This manuscript is of scientific importance, and the title is suitable, the abstract is comprehensive, the structure is appropriate and it is correct scientifically. References are enough but some corrections need to be made.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Some correction are made on the manuscript	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hüsniye Kayalar
Department, University & Country	Ege University, Turkey