

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_94597
Title of the Manuscript:	Pathogenicity of fungi isolated from spoilt sweet oranges (<i>Citrus sinensis</i>) and from the air in the environment of the orange section of the Gaboru market in Maiduguri, Nigeria.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalejns.com/index.php/EJNFS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Major REVISION comments	<p>In the introduction, the information is not logical and has duplicate ideas. Information from number 1 to number 9 is almost unnecessary, the author needs to get the main idea. The author needs to add more information on orange spoilage as well as economic loss from previous studies.</p> <p>The author needs to recheck the manuscript: Some information in italics in the results such as <i>infecting 10% of the oranges</i>; the information about fungi should be italicized; Is <i>Aspergillus fumigatus</i> incorrect?</p> <p>Sampling time in the air 5 minutes, 10 minutes, 15 minutes and 20 minutes is described by the author in the materials and methods section. However, the author's results are only general but not specific on how long after sample collection, and what species can be isolated.</p> <p>Assessment of artificial infection of sweet oranges should be kept under conditions suitable for the Gaboru market in Maiduguri, Nigeria and the degree of infection of each species after a certain period of time need add to the result section.</p> <p><i>Penicillium oxalicum</i> isolated from eighty of the orange samples in the results section was incorrect.</p> <p>The author should review the presentation of the references (the presentation of the references must be the same in principle), is the title of the journal abbreviated or not?</p>	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Tran Dinh Manh
Department, University & Country	Thu Dau Mot University, Vietnam