

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_94512
Title of the Manuscript:	State of Food Security and Hunger in Nepal
Type of the Article	Review article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalejns.com/index.php/EJNFS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The abstract contains abbreviation which is not explained until later in the text. There are references in the text which are not listed in the references (for example: Koyama, 2007 and Ohga, 2014). The total sum of percentage for many rows in Table 1 is not 100, as it is stated in the last column. Nepal is listed as a province in Table 1. There are some data without references (for example: The number of undernourished people in the world has been on the rise since 2014.) There are data which are misinterpreted (for example: Nepal decreased its prevalence of wasting children under the age of five from 11.3 percent in 2000 to 12.0 percent in 2022.) There are analyses of data in discussion (for other countries) which are not mentioned in results. Why are they important for the objective of this study as it is defined?	
Minor REVISION comments	The language in manuscript can be improved.	
Optional/General comments	There are no explanation why there are differences between residence, ecological zones and provinces.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Zdenko Kanački
Department, University & Country	University of Novi Sad, Serbia