

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	European Journal of Medicinal Plants
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJMP_94800
Title of the Manuscript:	REVIEW ON HERBAL REMEDIES FOR DYSENTERY AND DIARRHEA FROM THE MELGHAT REGION OF MAHARASHTRA STATE, INDIA
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalejmp.com/index.php/EJMP/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Methodology and searching criteria is not clearly defined.2. There is not enough detail in order to reliable and replicate this present review.3. It is not clear what the review found and how they did it.4. Appropriate key studies related to the present article are not included in the manuscript.5. The presentation of data isn't in an appropriate way. Tables presented are not in scientific way. Authors should read the standard guideline for "Tabular presentation".6. Discussion part is too narrow. The findings of the review are not discussed from multiple angles also not placed into context without being over interpreted.7. Some botanical names with authors mentioned are found incorrect. The latest botanical name should be written in scientific way.8. The conclusions are not in proper way, the significance of the present study is not conferred.9. The author should concentrate on what this review added to what was already known on this topic.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.6

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Bidhan Mahajon
Department, University & Country	Central Council for Research in Ayurvedic Sciences (CCRAS), India