

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_94860
Title of the Manuscript:	“Evaluation of strawberry (Fragaria x ananassa Duch.) cultivars for growth, flowering and fruiting behavior under farmer’s field condition (Baadi) in Chhattisgarh plain region”
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcjast.com/index.php/CJAST/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> *.The author indicated in the materials and methods of research that the study was carried out in three farms in Baadi... Are the results an average of the three farms or what? As well as in C. G. and on two types.... and this was not explained in the results, although it was mentioned. * In the results, reference was made to under N. S and E. W. an adjective of Plant spread only, and it was not mentioned in the rest of the traits..why... *.A comparison is required between the two study areas and in each of the attributes. * Delete what is colored in blue on pages 5 and 6 because it is repeated. * On page 5 and in the second line, change the number from 6.15 to 6.12 as in Table No. 1. * Make the modifications on page 7. * Tables and figures should be included in each of the two study areas. *And we agree to publish after making the required modifications and without referring to us. 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	El-Sayed Gheith
Department, University & Country	Cairo University, Egypt