

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_94812
Title of the Manuscript:	Trailblazing Road Safety and Commuter's "Vision Zero Planning" in NH-55 (Angul Sector)
Type of the Article	article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcjust.com/index.php/CJAST/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	In this paper, authors make an attempt towards study of causes and types of accidents to address the death trap in the Angul sector in NH-55. Authors found out that the excessive use of mobile phones, busy traffic and fatigue crews, unconscious pedestrians, reckless two-wheelers drivers, and distracted driving of loaded trucks are the major reasons for road crashes in the area. And authors give road safety recommendations in promoting road safety. It is an interesting work. However, I have some comments as follows: 1. I suggest that authors should give contribution of this paper, and provide the narrative framework of the whole paper to enhance the readability of the article in introduction. 2. I suggest that authors should summarize the methodologies of current research in the section of Methods and Methodology. 3. The picture of the article is vague. I suggest that authors should recommended to redraw (such as Fig 6 et. al). 4. The authors should have a proficient editor review and revise the language, and to comb normalization of article title structure in this paper.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Junjie Zhang
Department, University & Country	Beihang University, China