

## Impact of Lifestyle Factors on Chronic Otitis Media in Children Living in Slum areas

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Right

### ABSTRACT

**Background:** Chronic Otitis Media (COM) is a common community health disorder of childhood in all developing countries. An undiagnosed hearing problem in childhood is a significant issue and can result in delayed language development, behavioral problems, and lifelong hearing disability. **Objective:** The study aimed to identify the prevalence of COM in children residing in slums and its association with the lifestyle and hygiene beliefs of slum areas.

**Methods:** The study enrolled 271 slum children from two slums of Delhi, aged between four to fifteen years. The entire study population underwent routine otoscopic examination followed by data collection on socio-demographic variables collected using a questionnaire.

**Results:** Out of the study population, COM and otitis media with effusion (OME) accounted for 29 (10.8%) cases and 33 (12.3%) cases, respectively. The COM prevalence was higher among boys (19) than girls (10). The current study correlating COM with specific socio-demographic factors found a significant association between maternal education ( $p < 0.05$ ) and the disease. Also, ear cleaning habits ( $P < 0.001$ ), housing conditions, yearly income, family size, and medical consultation had a significant statistical association with COM ( $p < 0.05$ ).

**Conclusion:** The study highlights the lower prevalence of COM, this can be attributed to gradual improvement of slums community, socio-demographic conditions and increase in general awareness. But still there is a need of more campaigns and awareness-based programs in slums to stop the development of COM at the earliest stage among underprivileged children.

**Keywords:** chronic otitis media, hearing loss, slums children

Formatted: Font: Italic, Highlight

## 1. INTRODUCTION

N:

Hearing Impairment is the second most common problem in the world. The 2011 Indian Census noted that 2.21% of the Indian population suffers from hearing loss. In 1997, WHO reported a 6.3% prevalence of hearing loss in India [1]. It increased from 76.5 million in 2008 to 100 million in 2018. Childhood hearing impairment, even when mild, may have a detrimental effect on linguistic and educational development, resulting in social and psychological problems for affected children and their families [2]. A high prevalence of mild hearing loss affects children's academic performance [3]. Childhood-onset hearing loss significantly affects lifelong disability and Quality of Life (QoL) [4]. In children, the prevalence of hearing loss was 6.6% to 16.47%, of which chronic suppurative otitis media (COM) and secretory otitis media/otitis media with effusion (OME) were the most common pathologies [5]. COM is characterized by a permanent perforation in the tympanic membrane with or without discharge from the middle ear, and OME is fluid inside the middle ear due to cough and cold. The prevalence of COM can range from 1.3 to 17% [6] (Kacker et al., 2001) & [7] (Datta et al., 2001). Children in rural areas have a high prevalence (11.9%) of hearing loss [8, 9]. Literature from developing nations suggests that the majority of affected individuals belong to low-income slum areas compared to individuals belonging to high-income groups. Poor socio-economic conditions like overcrowding, unsafe sanitation, inadequate housing, malnutrition, and lack of primary healthcare are prevalent among these groups [10]. Children are more prone to develop chronic otitis media due to recurrent upper respiratory tract infections, incomplete resolution, and acute suppurative otitis media treatment [11]. The variations in socioeconomic status, lifestyles, living standards, and education across India also make it difficult to understand the extent of the problem and find a standard solution [5]. This study highlights these lifestyle factors significantly developing COM in young children.

### 1.1 Objective:

1. To determine the prevalence of COM in respondents.
2. To determine the impact of socio-demographic profile on the development of chronic otitis media on respondents.

## 2. METHODOLOGY

Y:

Comment [AA1]: This should be checked (in the References).

Comment [AA2]: This should be checked (in the References).

Formatted: Highlight

**Type of Study:** Quantitative and Cross – sectional study.

**Study Area:** The Study was conducted from two zones of Delhi slum areas of Paharganj and Sangamvihar because of their dense population. All the children who were present were included in the study.

**Study Period:** Data was collected from November 2018 to December 2018 (i.e., two months).

**Study Population:** A total of 271 children aged 4 to 15 years were included in the study for a Duration of 2 months

**Comment [AA3]:** This should be checked.

**Formatted:** Font: Not Bold, Highlight

**Formatted:** Highlight

**Formatted:** Indent: Left: 0.79", Space Before: 1.45 pt, Line spacing: single

**Formatted:** Font: Not Bold, Highlight

**Formatted:** Indent: Left: 0.79", Right: 0.19", Space Before: 0 pt, Line spacing: 1.5 lines

**Formatted:** Font: Not Bold, Highlight

**Formatted:** Indent: Left: 0.79", Space Before: 0 pt, Line spacing: single

**Formatted:** Font: Not Bold, Highlight

## 2.1 Tools & Technique

1. The entire study sample underwent clinical examination by Otoscopy. A perforated eardrum with or without discharge was kept as a diagnostic criterion for COM.

2. Parents (Father/Mother/Guardian) were interviewed regarding their lifestyle, socio-demographic profile (Income, Housing, Total family members, Sanitation, Maternal education), and health practices (Bathing pattern, Ear cleaning habits, Previous treatment-seeking methods). The interviewing physicians filled up the questionnaire.

## 2.2 Data Analysis:

All the collected data were coded and analyzed by SPSS Version 21.

The categorical variables related to demographic profile were presented using frequency and percentage. A statistical test such as the chi-square test was applied.

## 2.3 Inclusion criteria:

1. All those diagnosed with auditory problems by birth.
2. Students with ages from 4 to 15 years.

## 3. RESULTS:

The present study aimed to investigate the prevalence of COM (middle ear pathology) in slum children and to establish a correlation between COM and socio-demographic profile. Children were enrolled from two slum areas of Delhi. An otoscopic examination was done to check for middle ear status. A self-prepared questionnaire was used to interview the respondents which included case history and details about their socio-demographic conditions.




Fig. 1. Sex distribution in percentage

Comment [AA4]: The figure should be referenced (in the text).




Fig. 2. Age distribution in percentage

Comment [AA5]: The figure should be referenced (in the text).

A total of 269 children were randomly selected from two slum areas of Delhi with a male to female ratio of 1.124 (150 male and 119 female) participated in the study, with ages ranging from 4 to 15 years of different slum areas of Delhi, as shown in figure 1 & 2.

The prevalence of COM was 10.7% of these children. COM was more prevalent among boys 19 (7.06%) than girls 10 (3.7%).

**Table 1. COM and Socio-demographic profile status of the children: (n=269)**

Comment [AA6]: This should be checked.

| Socio-economic Variables         | Groups (% count within the group) |             | Total (%)    | X <sup>2</sup> | P value     |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------|--------------|----------------|-------------|
|                                  | Non CSOM                          | CSOM        |              |                |             |
| <b>Yearly income of guardian</b> |                                   |             |              |                |             |
| <1 to 1.5 lacks                  | 167 (86.52%)                      | 26 (13.47%) | 193 (69.51%) | 7.75           | 0.005       |
| > 1.5 lacks                      | 73 (96.05%)                       | 3 (3.94%)   | 76 (28.25%)  |                |             |
| X <sup>2</sup> value =7.75       |                                   | df=1        |              | P value <0.05  | Significant |
| <b>Family size</b>               |                                   |             |              |                |             |
| Joint Family                     | 159 (90.7%)                       | 25 (9.23%)  | 184 (68.4%)  | 7.75           | 0.0053      |
| Nuclear Family                   | 80 (95.23%)                       | 4 (4.76%)   | 84 (31.22%)  |                |             |
| X <sup>2</sup> value =7.75       |                                   | df=1        |              | P < 0.05       | Significant |
| <b>Maternal Education</b>        |                                   |             |              |                |             |
| Illiterate                       | 177 (88.05%)                      | 24 (11.94%) | 201 (74.72%) | 1.5207         | 0.034       |

|                   |             |           |             |        |             |
|-------------------|-------------|-----------|-------------|--------|-------------|
| Educated          | 63 (92.64%) | 5 (7.35%) | 68 (25.27%) |        |             |
| X2 value = 1.5207 | df=1        |           |             | P<0.05 | Significant |

**Rented/ Own house**

|                |              |             |              |        |             |
|----------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|--------|-------------|
| Kaccha         | 174 (75.38%) | 21 (10.76%) | 195 (72.49%) | 7.8    | 0.006       |
| Pakka          | 66 (89.18%)  | 8 (10.81%)  | 74 (27.50%)  |        |             |
| X2 value =7.78 | df=1         |             |              | P<0.05 | Significant |

**Guardian's\ Occupation**

|                         |              |               |             |        |             |
|-------------------------|--------------|---------------|-------------|--------|-------------|
|                         | 199 (88.05%) | 27 (11.94%)   | 226 (82.8%) | 5.8    | 0.015       |
| Daily laborer           |              |               |             |        |             |
| Service/ small Business | 41 (95.34%)  | 2 (4.65%)     | 43 (17.10%) |        |             |
| X2 value =5.80          | df=1         |               |             | P<0.05 | Significant |
| Total                   | 240 (89.21%) | 29 (10.78%)   | 269 (100%)  | T-     |             |
| 5690                    | df= 268      | Pvalue < 0.05 |             |        |             |

Table 1 shows that the study population was from different families and socio-demographic profile. The everyday occupation of the guardians was daily laborers (82.8%), followed by service and small businessmen(17.1%).COM was more among the children of daily laborers(11.9% within the group), showing a statistically significant(P=0.015) association with the CSOM group. The total number of family members was found from 4 to 10 persons. Most of the children were from joint families (68.4%), and COM cases were also more (9.23%) in that group; statistically, their association was significant(P=0.0053). The range of the yearly income of the guardians was 72,000 to 3,50,0000 rupees. Maximum children(69.5%) were from lower-income families(1.5laks/year),

and a total of 26 children (13.4%) in the group of COM were from lower income. These income groups had a significant statistical association ( $P= 0.005$ ) with the prevalence of COM. The level of maternal education plays a crucial role in family health. 74.7% of the children had illiterate maternal education. It has been shown that COM was more prevalent ( 11.9%) among the children of illiterate mothers than the literate ones. The relationship between maternal education and the prevalence of COM was found to be statistically significant ( $P=0.034$ ). Most of the children lived in a kachcha house (72.4%) than a pakka house, but the prevalence of COM was the same in both groups: pakka house (10.8%) and kachcha house (10.7%).

**Table 2. COM and health practices of children: (n= 269)**

| Variables                   | Groups (%<br>within group) | count | Total (%)   | X <sup>2</sup> | Pvalue          |        |
|-----------------------------|----------------------------|-------|-------------|----------------|-----------------|--------|
|                             | No COM(%)                  |       | COM (%)     |                |                 |        |
| <b>Sanitation</b>           |                            |       |             |                |                 |        |
| In house washroom           | 197 (88.34%)               |       | 26 (11.65%) | 223 (82.89%)   | 2.79            | 0.09   |
| Public washroom             | 43 (93.47%)                |       | 3 (6.52%)   | 46 (17.10%)    |                 |        |
| X <sup>2</sup> value =2.79  |                            | df=1  | P< 0.05     |                | Non Significant |        |
| <b>Bathing Habit</b>        |                            |       |             |                |                 |        |
| River/canal/Pond            | 85 (88.54%)                |       | 11 (11.45%) | 96 (35.68%)    | 0.003           | 0.95   |
| Tube well and supply water  | 155 (89.59%)               |       | 18 (10.40%) | 173 (64.31%)   |                 |        |
| X <sup>2</sup> value =0.003 |                            | df=1  | P<0.05      |                | Non Significant |        |
| <b>EarCleaning habit</b>    |                            |       |             |                |                 |        |
| No ear cleaning             | 101 (95.28%)               |       | 5 (4.71%)   | 106 (39.40%)   | 12.44           | 0.0004 |

**Comment [AA7]:** The table should be referenced (in the text).

**Comment [AA8]:** The table should be checked.

|                                                       |              |             |              |
|-------------------------------------------------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|
| Regular/habitual                                      | 139 (85.27%) | 24 (14.72%) | 163 (60.59%) |
| ear cleaning with<br>cotton or bud or<br>wooden stick |              |             |              |

X<sup>2</sup> value =12.24 df=1 P< 0.001 Significant

**Pattern of primary medical consultation**

|                      |              |             |             |       |       |
|----------------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|-------|-------|
| Home remedies/Quacks | 127 (84.10%) | 26 (15.89%) | 151 (71.3%) | 13.54 | 0.001 |
|----------------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|-------|-------|

|            |              |           |            |
|------------|--------------|-----------|------------|
| homeopathy | 41 (793.18%) | 2 (6.81%) | 44 (17.4%) |
|------------|--------------|-----------|------------|

|                          |             |            |             |
|--------------------------|-------------|------------|-------------|
| Physician/ENT specialist | 72 (97.29%) | 1 (2..70%) | 74 (11.50%) |
|--------------------------|-------------|------------|-------------|

X<sup>2</sup> value =13.54 df=1 P< 0.05 Significant

|       |             |            |            |
|-------|-------------|------------|------------|
| Total | 240 (89.2%) | 29 (10.8%) | 269 (100%) |
|-------|-------------|------------|------------|

Table 2, shows that most of the study population used safe sanitation(in house wash-room or closed slab, 82.8%) and bathed in clean tube well water (64.3%). Only a few percent of children used public washrooms (17.1%). However, no statistically significant association was found between the prevalence of COM and sanitation & bathing habit. Furthermore, a statistically significant association was found between ear-cleaning practices and the prevalence of COM (P= 0.0004). 14.7% have an ear-cleaninghabitwithpins,feathers,andmatchsticks.4.7%havenoear-cleaningpatterns.Amaximumof the children (71.3%) sought primary medical treatmentfrom quacks or home remedies, only a few children(11.5%)wenttoqualifieddoctors,and17.4%fromotherpractitioners.Asignificantstatistical association (P= 0.001) was found between medical check-ups and the prevalence of COM.

**4. DISCUSSION**

N

In the current cross-sectional study among 269 slum children, 29 cases of COM were detected, and the prevalence was 10.7%. COM was more prevalent among boys 19 (7.06%) than girls 10 (3.7%). This result is consistent with studies done in neighboring developing nations like Bangladesh 5.2% [12](Shaheen et al., 2012), Dhaka 7.3% [13], Nepal 5.0% [13, 14], Tamil Nadu 6% [14]. However, the present study showed a lower prevalence than Verma [15], who evaluated 613 children in a Haryana village. They had a much higher prevalence of COM (15.3%). The lower prevalence of COM in the current study can be attributed to the gradual improvement of the slum community's socio-economic conditions and increased general awareness concerning sanitation.

**Comment [AA9]:** This should be checked (in the References).

**Comment [AA10]:** This should be checked (in the References).

In the present study, 69.5% of the samples were from low-income groups (less than 1.5 lakh), among which 13.4% of the population had COM. The yearly income of the guardians had a significant association with the prevalence of COM ( $P=0.005$ ). This study's findings simulate such studies done in our country and abroad [13, 14] & [12](Shaheen et al., 2012).

**Comment [AA11]:** In the text, do not use the first person "our".

**Comment [AA12]:** This should be checked (in the References).

**Comment [AA13]:** This should be checked (in the References).

COM was more prevalent among the students belonging to joint families (9.2%). Overcrowding is a recognized risk factor for COM, and in the current study, the size of the family had a significant impact on the occurrence of COM ( $P=0.0053$ ). The findings were similar to a study done by Shaheen et al., (2012) [12] 87.8% of respondents were from medium-sized families.

**Comment [AA14]:** This should be checked (in the References).

Regarding the maternal education of the subjects, 74.7% of their mothers were illiterate, and only 25.2% were educated mothers. It was shown that COM was more prevalent (11.9%) among the children of illiterate mothers. The relation between maternal education and the prevalence of COM was statistically significant ( $P=0.05$ ). The findings are similar to the study done by Shaheen et al.: (2012) [12] in Bangladesh among slum children, with a significant association between COM (7.4%) and illiterate mothers. In India, similar findings were obtained by Rao et al. [9]. The emphasis on maternal education is due to its impact on the hygiene habits of family members and consciousness regarding health care and nutrition.

**Comment [AA15]:** This should be checked (in the References).

Most of our study population lived in kaccha houses (72.4%). COM was also found more among the Kachha house dwellers (21 children out of 29 COM children). Similar results were found by Shaheen et al. (2012) [12] in which (76.6%) of COM used the kaccha house [1]. They have found a significant relationship between the two. Poor ventilation, humid conditions, and neglected hygiene contribute to recurrent upper respiratory tract infections and, consequently, to

**Comment [AA16]:** In the text, do not use the first person "our".

**Comment [AA17]:** This should be checked (in the References).

COM. In a recent study regarding COM, housing also revealed a significant association with the prevalence of COM between rural and urban residents [14]. WHO 1996 reports also stress adequate housing to prevent recurrent infections.

Comment [AA18]:

Most children (82.8%) used safe sanitation (closed slab, isolated sanitary latrine). Only a small number (11.6%) of students used unsafe sanitation systems (open or trench toilets). Safe sanitation habits are essential for the prevention of infections and overall well-being. However, the current research study's relationship between COM and sanitation habits was not statistically significant. These findings mimic the survey by Shaheen et al. (2014)

Comment [AA19]: This should be checked (in the References).

Comment [AA20]:

Among the children, 39.4% had no ear-cleaning habit. Those who cleaned their ears frequently (60.5%) used cotton buds, sticks, feathers, and pins. These habits proved to have a statistically significant effect on the current study's occurrence of COM ( $P = 0.0004$ ). Biswas and Shaheen got similar results for ear cleaning habits (Biswas et al., 2005) & Shaheen et al., 2012 [12].

Comment [AA21]: This should be checked (in the References).

Comment [AA22]: This should be checked (in the References).

Most children (71.3%) seek their primary medical treatment from quacks (ear cleaning from non-professionals, home remedies like putting oils inside the ears, and medicine from nearby medicine shops) of their locality. Only a few numbers (11.5%) attended the qualified doctors (MBBS & specialist). The prevalence of COM had a statistically significant relationship with the medical consultation-seeking practice between qualified doctors (MBBS and above) and non-qualified medical practitioners ( $P = 0.001$ ). Similar results were found by (Shaheen et al., 2012) [12] in which 84.7% sought medical treatment from quacks, and only 12.3% went to Specialists. Biswas et al., 2005 found a similar result maximum of 35.71% goes to quacks. Treatment from unqualified practitioners can result in incomplete and inappropriate treatment (Siddique et al., 1995).

Comment [AA23]: This should be checked (in the References).

Comment [AA24]: This should be checked (in the References).

In the current study, 162 (60.2%) students had no detectable ear problems on otoscopic examination. Ear wax (15.6%) and otitis media with effusion (OME) (12.3%) were the other commoner forms of ear disorder detected among these children. This result is consistent with other studies done in rural areas of developing countries. Shaheen et al.; (2012) [12] found similar results in Bangladesh

Comment [AA25]: This should be checked (in the References).

## 5. CONCLUSION:

Formatted: Highlight

The current study found the prevalence of COM (10.7%) in males (55.8%) and females (44.2%) in 4 to 15 years of slum children. The results of this study reflect a decreasing status of COM in slum children of Delhi. The low prevalence can be due to awareness program's running in slum

areas. Since socio-demographic variables are still prevalent in India (Davey et al., 2018, there is a need to strengthen the existing national program for the prevention and control of deafness and ensure its reach to the marginalized sections of society ).

## REFERENCES

1. WHO. Child and adolescent health and development. Prevention of blindness and deafness. Chronic suppurative otitis media. Burden of illness and management options. 2004; Geneva: WHO
2. Flexer, C. Facilitating hearing and listening in young children. 1994; San Diego, CA
3. Khairi, M.D., Daud, M., Noor, R.M., Rahman, N.A., Sidek, D.S. & Mohamad, A. The effect of mild hearing loss on academic performance in primary school children. *International Journal of Pediatric Otorhinolaryngology*. 2010; 74 (1): 67-70
4. Graydon K, Waterworth C, Miller H, Gunasekera H. Global burden of hearing impairment and ear disease. *The Journal of Laryngology & Otology*. 2018; 133(1): 18–25.
5. Verma RR, Konkimalla A, Thakar A, Sikka K, Singh AC, Khanna T. Prevalence of hearing loss in India. *Natl Med J India*. 2021 Jul-Aug; 34(4): 216-222. doi: 10.25259/NMJI\_66\_21. PMID: 35112547.
6. Kacker SK. Primary and secondary prevention of hearing impairment in rural areas. *ICMR Bulletin* 1993; 23: 15–20
7. Datta PG, Neuton VE, Amin MN, Chowdhury RKD. Chronic suppurative otitis media – a major cause of hearing impairment in developing countries. *J Bangladesh Coll Phys Surg* 1995; 13: 24–7
8. Jacob A, Rupa V, Job A, Joseph A. Hearing impairment and otitis media in a rural primary school in south India. *Int J Pediatr Otorhinolaryngol*. 1997; 39: 133-8.
9. Rao RSP, Subramanyam MA, Nair NS, Rajashekhar B. Hearing impairment and ear diseases among children of school entry age in rural South India. *Int J Pediatr Otorhinolaryngol*. 2002; 64: 105–110

**Comment [AA26]:** These references should be rearranged according to this example [Boateng R, Mbrokoh AS, Boateng L, Senyo PK, Ansong E. Determinants of e-learning adoption among students of developing countries. *Int. J. Inf. Learn. Technol*. 2016; 33(4): 248–262.]

**Formatted:** Highlight

10. Chadha SK, Gulati K, Garg S, Agarwal AK. Comparative prevalence of otitis media in children living in urban slums, non-slum urban and rural areas of Delhi. *International Journal of Pediatric Otorhinolaryngology*. 2014; 78: 2271-74.
11. Berman, S. Otitis Media in Children. *New England Journal of Medicine*. 1995; 332(23), 1560–65. doi:10.1056/nejm199506083322307.
12. Shaheen, M., and S. Nahar. Comparison of chronic suppurative otitis media in rural and urban primary school children in Bangladesh. *The Journal of Laryngology & Otology*. 2014; 128(6): 499-503.
13. Kamal, N., et al. Prevalence of chronic suppurative otitis media among the children living in two selected slums of Dhaka City. *Bangladesh Medical Research Council Bulletin*. 2004; 30 (3): 95-104.
14. Audhikari, P., et al. Chronic suppurative otitis media in school children of Kathmandu valley. *IntArch Otorhinolaryngol*. 2007 ;11 (2): 1-5.
14. Rupa, V., et al. CSOM: prevalence and practices among rural south indian children. *Int J Pediatr Otorhinolaryngol*. 1999; 48 (3): 217-221.
15. Verma, AK, et al. Epidemiology of chronic suppurative otitis media and deafness in a rural area and developing an intervention strategy. *Indian J Pediatr*. 1995; 62 (6): 725-9.
16. Mann, SB, et al. Incidence of hearing impairment among rural and urban school going children: a survey. *Indian J Pediatr*. 1998;65 (1), 1998: 141-5.
18. Rao RSP, Subramanyam MA, Nair NS, Rajashekhar B. Hearing impairment and ear diseases among children of school entry age in rural South India. *Int J Pediatr Otorhinolaryngol*. 2002; 64:105–110
19. Shaheen, M., & Nahar, S. Comparison of chronic suppurative otitis media in rural and urban primary school children in Bangladesh. *The Journal of Laryngology & Otology*. 2014; 128(6): 499-503. doi:10.1017/S0022215114001054
20. WHO/CIBA Foundation workshop report, Prevention of hearing impairment from chronic otitis media. Nov 1996; UK 19–21
21. Biswas, AC, et al. Prevalence of CSOM among rural school going children. *Mymensingh Med J*. 2005; 14 (2): 152-155.
22. Siddique BH, Khan AH. CSOM in a rural area based study. 1995; *SSMC J* 3:31–33

23. Bandyopadhyay R, Sengupta A, Dasgupta A, Biswas R, Mukherjee S, Biswas AB. A comparative study of common ear morbidity pattern among the primary school children of an urban slum of Kolkata and rural area of Hooghly. *J Indian Med Assoc.* 2005; 103(8): 428, 430-2.
24. Davey, S., et al. Impact of Indian public health standards for rural health care facilities on the national programme for control of deafness in India: The results of a cohort study. *J Family Med Prim Care.* 2018; 7 (4): 780-786.

**Comment [AA27]:** This should be checked (in the text).