

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_94328
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparison of anthropometric parameters of women and men agricultural workers pertaining to tractor operator workspace
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcjast.com/index.php/CJAST/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The topic of the work is of great importance The work is very objective and punctual There are many anthropometric parameters (27) evaluated and all are important The paper is well written, the data is properly presented The bibliographical references are few, however, they are sufficient for the work.	
Minor REVISION comments	The theme is good, the work is objective, it is well written, the data are correctly presented	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jerusa Souza Andrade
Department, University & Country	Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas da Amazônia, Universidade Nilton Lins, Brazil