

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_94317
Title of the Manuscript:	On the Non-Relativistic Space-Time View and the Covariation of Maxwell's Equations
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcjast.com/index.php/CJAST/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>In my opinion, the article is within the scope of Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology. However, I think it needs to track some changes, most of which are formatting details.</p> <p>The author must revise the numbering of the pages, as from page 9 it goes back to page 1 and repeats on the next page. From this page the numbering is not followed.</p> <p>Throughout the article, the author uses ellipses at the end of sentences, but they are not well written, as he uses 4 points instead of 3 and often for no apparent reason, as they are not quotes. It appears right at the beginning of pages 2 and 3, repeating itself several times.</p> <p>In Table 1, the author mentions several equations but does not include references or indicate how he obtained them. Points 1 and 2 appear in the same table but are not indicated in the caption or text.</p> <p>On page 4, when listing some assumptions, a numbering is used that is normally not accepted in publications.</p> <p>In point 3, when the first equation of the article appears, it is not numbered, thus having the numbering of all the remaining ones to be changed. Also in this equation, the Klg variables are not mentioned in the text.</p> <p>Throughout the article, as well as in equations, variables should be in italics. It is necessary for the author to review this point as it sometimes appears in italics and at other times in plain text.</p> <p>References are mentioned throughout the article in subscript and must be in plain text.</p> <p>Sometimes, after the period, a space is missing before the first word.</p> <p>From point 6, where it mentions negative group velocity, the symbol looks like it has an extra space between the v and the g.</p> <p>In point 7, following equation 13, the explanation is not clear as the variables are separated with symbols instead of commas. At point 10 the same thing happens.</p>	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	José Gabriel Lopes
Department, University & Country	Instituto Politécnico de Lisboa, Instituto Superior de Engenharia de Lisboa, Portugal