

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_94292
Title of the Manuscript:	Antibiotic susceptibility pattern of bacterial pathogens isolated from canine superficial pyoderma
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcjust.com/index.php/CJAST/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	I will speak directly about the conclusion: the authors report one species as the most predominant, however, the microorganism in question is present in intact skin and the bacteriological methodology is not capable of confirming this; Right after Table 1, suggest that they make "Susceptibility of isolates to antibiotics" a topic, since in previous results the authors also divided it into topic; The authors weakly explain the population of the samples: origin, location, races, clinical admissions, among other characteristics. This gap makes the study merely microbiological and creates a sampling bias;	
Minor REVISION comments	Numerous spelling, graphics, text standardization errors are present. I will not list them because there are too many. Suggest a reread and rewrite to fix these numerous errors, cause uncritical writing demonstrates a rush and carelessness with work; As far as I understand in scientific writing, it is not healthy to have keywords equal to words in the title. However, I suggest consulting the authors with the rules of the journal;	
Optional/General comments	In the introduction, the authors speak of other etiologies such as <i>Mycobacterium</i> spp. species causing pyoderma, however there is no methodology for this in this investigation! I suggest focusing on bacteria of the genus <i>Staphylococcus</i> sp. and Enterobacterias because the methodology is faithful to the titles and objectives;	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> They do not cite the ethics committee for animal use if these samples came from a retrospective survey, it is relevant to mention!	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Raoane Silva Siqueira
Department, University & Country	Stadual University of Ceará, Brazil