

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<b>Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_CJAST_94290</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Study On Use of Bluetooth Technology in Hearing Aids Among Adults</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcjast.com/index.php/CJAST/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Introduction- This is called background to the study, author should be able to give us adequate background to what Bluetooth technology is, advent of Bluetooth technology in hearing aid technology</li> <li>2. Materials and methods should be clearly stated at the last paragraph of the Introduction. For example, clearly state if this present study is a retrospective one or prospective study.</li> <li>3. Discussion on the subject matter is not adequate, the author stated that there is a significant improvement in sentence and word recognition scores with Bluetooth mode compare to conventional mode in both quiet and noisy conditions. It is expected that the author should discuss this finding properly and adequately with facts and figures from other studies and even other contrary studies and discuss why other studies are contrary. 49% reported that when asked their experience when Bluetooth feature is turned off, they have to ask people around what is being said, it means that communication chain is being impaired, this should have been more discussed.</li> <li>4. Follow the reference format of the journal and also unbold all the cited authors. Online citations should have date in which they are retrieved.</li> </ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	The rule on the use of et al. is that you cite all the authors at the first time, it is the subsequent time that you can use et al., however, if the authors are more than six, you cite the first six and jump to the last one, then subsequently, you use et al.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	This is a good work and it reflects the trend in Audiology as a profession. Many people with vision problems find it easy to use eye glasses but in case of hearing aid, it is another story, acceptability of hearing aid is low and there are many reasons for this low uptake of hearing aids among people with hearing loss such as feedback from conventional hearing aid, hearing aid too conspicuous to others, not hearing others well etc. One of the factors to consider is what this author works upon: integration of Bluetooth feature on hearing aid which aids on phone calls in both quiet and noisy areas, lesser repetitions, improved music listening, confidence using hearing aids in public places, increased wearing time (longer usage) which overall brings improved communication.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Olawale Ogundiran</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Health and Allied Sciences, Ghana</b>