

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_94206
Title of the Manuscript:	Detection of High-frequency Tremor Events in the Nucleation Process and an Application to the Short-term Prediction of Major Earthquakes
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcjast.com/index.php/CJAST/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The title is too long. It should be concise. Good research paper titles are usually 10–12 words long. In addition, you must specify the area from where the data were collected. "Japan". - The most important part of the abstract is a presentation of the theories, however, this section must not exceed 20% of the content of the abstract. - Include three to five keywords. - Map of figure 1 missing the North arrow. Also, in the caption, you must indicate which area represents the map, and what is the content of the table is. - Don't use tables as images. You must use the Table option of Microsoft Word to create tables. - Use Microsoft equations editor for math equations and formulas. - The connection between the sections should be established correctly. - Numerous Literary grammatical errors have made this article totally unprofessional. You should be advised to partner with highly proficient individuals especially from academia to review the article and change it drastically. - Most of the references used are very old. It is important to use sources published in the few past years. The sources published for example in the past 5 years are more current and may reflect the newest discoveries related to your research topic 	
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Overall, the paper present good research idea, but it is not suitable for publication in the current form. - We hope the author would find our recommendations helpful to revise this manuscript. Please see comments above. 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.6

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Abderrahim Ayad
Department, University & Country	Abdelmalek Essaadi University, Morocco