



SDI EDITORIAL COMMENTS FORM

EDITORIAL COMMENT'S on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to editor's comments
<p>1. Statistical significance is not applied with proper method. Anova to be followed by appropriate tests like Dunnet's or Tukey, to compare among groups.</p> <p>2. The conclusion to be revised as to, the herbal plant as selected, have a potential as myocardial protective agent as a chronic treatment (but not for treatment for acute emergency such as MI where drugs with rapid actions are needed).and P<0.05 is not enough to declare the plant as alternative treatment for MI.</p>	<p>Thank you for providing valuable review for my manuscript. I have attended to all the issues raised by the editors in the manuscript and done as requested. Thank you once again.</p> <p>1. Done (The discussions has been done within and across the groups)</p> <p>2. Done</p>