

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Cardiology and Angiology: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CA_94462
Title of the Manuscript:	Ischemic cerebral infarction following thrombolytic therapy for acute inferior myocardial infarction : the third reported case
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalca.com/index.php/CA/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	It would be better to entitle the article "ischemic stroke following..." in fact in the text of the article it referred so.	
Minor REVISION comments	There are a few grammatical mistakes On page 3, there are defined some initials that are not needed because they are not use further in the test. (WBC, PRC). Even when it can be use like it is, I wonder if it is possible to improve the quality of fig. 1	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Gilberto Cairo Sáez
Department, University & Country	Universidad de Ciencias Medicas de Villa Clara, Cuba