

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Annual Research & Review in Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_93996
Title of the Manuscript:	Metaanalysis of Vaccine efficacy for Monkeypox according to clinical criteria of history taking and clinical features and laboratory investigation
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajmah.com/index.php/AJMAH/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- the manuscript should be grammarily checked-Scientific names are written in italics- It is necessary to enriche the work by other articles, because this kind of article (review) needs more references.	
Minor REVISION comments	Ovoid abbreviations	
Optional/General comments	Language quality Check the punctuation	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Redouane-Salah Sara
Department, University & Country	University Mohamed Khider of Biskra, Algeria