

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Annual Research & Review in Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_93394
Title of the Manuscript:	QUALITY EVALUATION OF EGGS FROM ISA BROWN AS INFLUENCED BY NATURAL ANTIOXIDANTS AND STORAGE TIME
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarrb.com/index.php/ARRB/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Mention the units of parameters recorded.	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. The result mentioned in table 2. The storage parameters were not depicting the values as various treatment groups. Correct this accordingly.2. Mention superscripts correctly in all the result tables3. Mention the reference style as per the journal guidelines.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Manoj Kumar Singh
Department, University & Country	College of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel University of Agriculture and Technology, India