

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Mathematics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJOM_94457
Title of the Manuscript:	Allocation of Subjects in An Educational Institution by Pascal Triangular Approach
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarjom.com/index.php/ARJOM/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>The topic is good but the narrative is too shallow. The author needs to do alot of explanations on thr previous modes of subject ranking andbwhat other researchers have found out about their applicability. Then the same should be done about the triangular method. The new aspect of this method clearly being brought out as compared to the existing literature. The problem about other methods should be stated, justifying the chosen method. The author should explain the problem with the current subject allocations done by education institutions. The study should include the empirical literature, limitations and discussion of the study.</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	The methodology should clearly explain the triangular method, how it was used and explain the findings per triangular step.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The author could consider other objectives from the topic, in order to improve the study.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kakooza Victoria
Department, University & Country	Kyambogo University, Uganda