

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Mathematics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJOM_94068
Title of the Manuscript:	Another Look of Rings Domination in Ladder Graph
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarjom.com/index.php/ARJOM/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The author's drafted manuscript in a very systematic way and well mannered. However I recommend this manuscript for publication after minor revision. .	
Minor REVISION comments	The author must include classification number. I suggest accepting this publication after this minor revision. I Suggest to refer and to cite the following papers in appropriate sections without fail. <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. "On the Metro domination number of Cartesian product of two paths and cycles slanting ladder graph", Journal of Engineering and applied sciences. 2. "On the metro domination number of Cartesian product of $P_2 \times P_n$", International Journal of mathematical archieve,9(2018),no.3,pp.1 3. On the K-metro domination number of Cartesian product of $P_2 \times P_n$", Journal of Advanced Research in Dynamical and Control Systems, 11(2019), no.1, pp.425-431. 4. "Metro domination of powers of path", International Journal of Emerging Technology and Advance Engineering, 8(2018), no.1. 5. "Metro Domination of Square Cycle", International Journal of Mathematics and its applications, 5(2017), no.1-E, pp.641-645. 	
Optional/General comments	After Revision again manuscript should be send for revision	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	G. C Basavaraju
Department, University & Country	Brindavan College of Engineering, VTU, India