

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Gynaecology and Obstetrics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJGO_94667
Title of the Manuscript:	Association Between Hemoglobin Levels And Dietary Iron Consumption Among Pregnant Women In Bangladesh: A Cross-Sectional Study
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarjgo.com/index.php/ARJGO/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>The author should consider indicating the size of the sampling frame from where a sample size of 197 was drawn.</p> <p>There need to be an explanation of how those who were tested and found to be anaemic were dealt with. This is a hallmark of a health science research.</p> <p>You can propose an intervention and follow-up or do it yourself.</p> <p>The bottom line here is you can find some of your respondents sick and you ignore them after data collection.</p> <p>Explain the contrasting finding "no significant relationship between any food consumption and haemoglobin levels" by Solo et al., 2017 based on the scope of your research findings.</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Last paragraph under introduction has information that should be authenticated. Indicate the source of the information. Footnote in Table 1 "Binary logistic regression" should be deleted as it has no reference in this Table.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	David Otieno Odongo
Department, University & Country	In-Depth Research Institute, Kenya