

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Gynaecology and Obstetrics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJGO_91365
Title of the Manuscript:	NON GOVERNMENTAL ORGANISATIONS AND WOMEN'S HEALTH EMPOWERMENT IN UGANDA: A REVIEW
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarjgo.com/index.php/ARJGO/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Type of article not mentioned.</p> <p>As title shows it to be a "review" then it should be of 5000-9000 words, even a mini-review should contain 3000-6000 words with 30-70 references and 2-3 figures, author may kindly refer to the journal guidelines.</p> <p>Background/Introduction – same nomenclature may be used</p> <p>Aim and objectives may kindly be written separately in the manuscript</p> <p>Methodology- missing in the manuscript, author may explain the methodology in detail including hoe the literature search was done and how many evidences from different studies were relevant and useful....</p> <p>Results from different studies may be tabulated and analysed and then valid conclusions drawn that how NGO's affecting the women health empowerment. A lot of irrelevant text which doesn't explain the rationale of the study or goes in line with the title is expressed (some is highlighted), author may kindly see to this.</p> <p>Intext and references in the end may be cited according to journal guidelines or Vancouver style of referencing; author may kindly refer.</p> <p>More evidences from recent studies may be added (refer to journal guidelines) to meet the requirement of the type of article and to support his/her aim and objectives.</p> <p>Conclusion should be the answer of what author wants to convey, please rectify.</p> <p>Recommendations may be added</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	A grammar check is required in the whole manuscript, author may kindly check	
Optional/General comments	-	

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Manisha Nagpal
Department, University & Country	Sri Guru Ram Das University of Health Sciences and Research, India