

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_91867
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF HANDS-ON/MIND-ON LEARNING ACTIVITIES ON PRIMARY SCHOOL PUPILS' ACHIEVEMENT IN CULTURAL AND CREATIVE ARTS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarjass.com/index.php/ARJASS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>The abstract section should clearly state the contribution of this research and what research gap it addresses.</p> <p>The introduction needs to be developed further to clearly establish the justification/importance of the new initiative and articulate the research problem and objective; these need to be specifically linked to the associated issues and problems</p> <p>Much more critical analysis needs to be undertaken of the relevant literature and key points/issues identified which will underpin the rest of the manuscript. Try to include some latest journal article references published from 2019-2022 in the specific areas to improve the relevance and currency of the research.</p> <p>Extended literature review would help you also with addressing the issue of contribution of the manuscript. Furthermore, the paper needs to explain how this literature was selected to be reviewed, i.e., what selection method was followed and how it was ensured that the review was sufficiently comprehensive and reflected the current state of the art.</p> <p>Conclusion section should be expanded to highlight the unique contributions of the manuscript and limitations of the research. It should include all main results in compact form, comments and their implications.</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>The manuscript needs more convincing arguments and documentation of the originality and the value of this particular research, a coherent presentation and interpretation of the findings and provide an argument that supports the significance of the research in comparison to what is already known about this research problem, and how the results of the research can be used for future research and educational practice.</p> <p>Text score: 68 out of 100. This score represents the quality of writing in this document. This text scores better than 68% of all texts checked by Grammarly. The quality of writing in this document can be enhanced by improving the grammar.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Arita Marini
Department, University & Country	Universitas Negeri Jakarta, Indonesia