

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Plant Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_APRJ_94724
Title of the Manuscript:	Response of Spodoptera frugiperda Larval Instars to Commonly Used Insecticides in Tanzania
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalapri.com/index.php/APRJ/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">the abstract section has not been equipped with the dosage used. follow the recommended dose reference or the dose used by farmersthe method section has not been equipped with soil characteristicsAlign between goals and conclusions	
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">The reference has not used the Medeley or Zatero applicationssome of the literature used is very old, you should look for the latest 5-10 years	
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Discussions and discussions need to be rewritten	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	I Nengah Muliarta
Department, University & Country	Warmadewa University, Indonesia