

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Urology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRRU_94920
Title of the Manuscript:	Upper third ureteric calculi in preschool age children: preliminary experience with flexible ureteroscopy and Holmium laser lithotripsy
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrru.com/index.php/AJRRU/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Correct the sample size in the results section2. Correct the grammatical errors3. Nothing mentioned about stent symptoms in paediatric population. It is not possible that all the children tolerated the JJ stent very well. Also mention what measures taken for stent symptoms.4. Mention about the ethical committee permission with the number in the methods section.5. Discuss about the emergency department visits after JJ stent placement, radiation exposure time and cost issues.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sanjay P Dhangar
Department, University & Country	SMBT IMS & RC, India