

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Urology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRRU_94920
Title of the Manuscript:	Upper third ureteric calculi in preschool age children: preliminary experience with flexible ureteroscopy and Holmium laser lithotripsy
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrru.com/index.php/AJRRU/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The article was reasonably well written; nevertheless, the standard of English needs to be improved to raise the level of writing up to the level of Good English publishable standard of the Journal. I have provided support by making the corrections that need to be made in my opinion as well as the writing of the references need to be consistent. I have made additions to the references which the authors need to reflect upon and incorporate. Conflict of interest statement should be made. Acknowledgements to be made thanking any individual or group that supported the authors please. The authors should state whether there were residual stones that measured less than 2 mm and what happened to them. Were the tiny residual stones that were not discussed passed spontaneously or there was no residual stone that measured less than 2 mm in the post-operative CT KUB?	
Minor REVISION comments	See above	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anthony Kodzo-Grey Venyo
Department, University & Country	North Manchester General Hospital, United Kingdom