



SDI EDITORIAL COMMENTS FORM

EDITORIAL COMMENT'S on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to editor's comments
<p>English revision is needed</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">- Abstract section need to be restructured- Visual acuity better to be LogMAR- Corrected visual acuity 20/20 in both eyes even catarctous eye?- Case presentation need to be improved- Conclusion should be precise and clear- Figures are not of posterior subcapsular cataract (it appears on cornea) and nuclear cataract is behind the opacity you mean.	<p>Thank you for your help and professionalism.</p> <p>The increase in corrected visual acuity is secondary to the correction of hyperopia and the fact that the cataract is still incipient.</p> <p>I replaced Figure 2 with one that better shows through the left-tilted light slit section the subcapsular location of the incipient cataract (relative to the cornea).</p> <p>Thank you for your relevant remarks which improved the quality of my manuscript.</p>