

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Ophthalmology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRROP_94297
Title of the Manuscript:	IMPACT ASSESSMENT OF ACTIVE TRACHOMA CONTROL IN GARKI LOCAL GOVERNMENT AREA OF JIGAWA STATE, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrop.com/index.php/AJRROP/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Results :</p> <p>Overall, the results must be more detailed</p> <p>Table 1 : Even if the prevalences seem close, a statistical comparison is compulsory to distinguish which regions is more affected</p> <p>Tableaux 2 et 3 : You must add the columns containing the numbers and percentages of the patients and the non -sick to have a clearer vision</p> <p>We do not find the analysis of environmental and hygienic risk factors of TT</p> <p>We do not find the analysis of risk factors by region (this could have an impact in the fight against the disease</p> <p>Sex prevalence must appear in the results</p> <p>The prevalence according to age must be made. They can be divided into 2 groups for example > 5 years and > 5 years</p> <p>Figure 2, In the title, it should be noted that these are the children examined for the study</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>In the text:</p> <p>Introduction :</p> <p>By definition, the sex ratio is H/F (to correct)</p> <p>Insert some old and new rates of the countries that have noted a drop in the disease after the SAFE strategy (in addition to the overall figure of 78% of the WHO)</p> <p>The date of the rate 20.9% reported in Garki must appear</p> <p>Methodology</p> <p>The text of the abbreviation MDA is not specified in the text</p> <p>Too much detail in the working methodology. Regarding an assessment of a health program, the result party must dominate.</p> <p>The words in abbreviation are sometimes rewritten in whole after the 1st abbreviation (ex principle investigator) in Fieldwork.</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>In the summary No abbreviation in the summary</p> <p>Background :</p> <p>Specify the date and the study population of the study which had found a rate of 20.9%</p> <p>Methods</p> <p>This part is too long</p>	

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u>	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u> Yes there are the authorities were informed and involved and the inhabitants were informed of the study and its interest	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Guenifi Wahiba
Department, University & Country	University Ferhat Abbas, Algeria