

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Ophthalmology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRROP_94227
Title of the Manuscript:	A patient with Multiple Myeloma presenting with bilateral retinal vein thrombosis: A case report
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrop.com/index.php/AJRROP/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Introduction There is repetition of last sentence with previous sentence.</p> <p>Case Presentation</p> <p>Figure 1 Figure 1 should be cited during the fundus examination finding. Should label the right and left eye in Figure 1.</p> <p>Figure 2 The non-perfusion area in Figure 2 is not clear. To state the phase of fluorescein angiography in Figure 2. Should label the right and left eye in Figure 2. Show with arrow the area of non-perfusion in Figure 2. Suggest adding the finding of optical coherence tomography (OCT) for both fundus in this patient to demonstrate the presence of macular oedema secondary to central retinal vein occlusion and this will explain the need of anti-VEGF for the treatment of blurring of vision. Should including the latest vision during follow-up since this patient presented with blurring of vision.</p> <p>Discussion Referral to ophthalmology team should be mentioned in Case Presentation section since this is part of the management of this patient.</p> <p>Reference The citation number of references do not follow the sequence. (Introduction section was started with ref no 12 – 16, should be started with no 1). Reference no 5 is not complete (missing volume). There is missing citation for reference no 11.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.6

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Zunaina Embong
Department, University & Country	Universiti Sains Malaysia, Malaysia