

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Ophthalmology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRROP_94227
Title of the Manuscript:	A patient with Multiple Myeloma presenting with bilateral retinal vein thrombosis: A case report
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrop.com/index.php/AJRROP/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Introduction is not proper. There is duplicity of statements. 2. Order of references is incorrect 3. Why is there no mention of similar clinical presentations which have already been reported in literature. 4. Basically, this case report does not add anything new to the existing literature. 5. Why was FFA performed in acute CRVO? The haemorrhages tend to block the underlying fluorescence and good information about macular ischemia cannot be known. 6. Why was no OCT done? No OCT images provided either 7. In discussion, patient was gain sent back for anti-VEGF therapy. This should be mentioned within the case report not in discussion 8. Why has serum homocysteine not been evaluated 9. If FFA images are provided, multiple images from different phases for each eyes should be provided 10. In both the figures provided, right eye should come first followed by left eye 11. Legend provided for figure 1 is wrong. It should not be funduscopy. It should be colour fundus photo 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Pradeep Kumar Panigrahi
Department, University & Country	Institute of Medical Sciences & Sum Hospital, Siksha O Anusandhan, India