

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Ophthalmology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRROP_94132
Title of the Manuscript:	VOGT KOYANAGI HARADA SYNDROME: A PANDORA'S BOX!
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrop.com/index.php/AJRROP/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The topic is relevant, nevertheless, the paper has to be improved 2. It's better not to use abbreviation in abstract part. And also in first time; for example: ATT, SNHL, SLCS, ... 3. Case report: it's better to write the doses of medications and follow up approach. The authors should explain in more details about duration and dose of steroid and immunomodulatory treatment and also about the time of tapering treatment after recovery. 4. It's better to summarize your selected findings in a table rather than text. 5. Comparison of clinical findings, para-clinical, predisposing factor and etc. in other studies helps to strengthen present study. 6. The discussion has to be re-written. It is not well structured and consists mainly of a thorough repetition of the results. 7. Format the references according to the instructions to authors. If there are more than six authors, the first three should be listed followed by 'et al'. 	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kourosh Shahraki
Department, University & Country	Zahedan University of Medical Sciences, Iran