

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Ophthalmology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRROP_93819
Title of the Manuscript:	Ocular myasthenia gravis (OMG) Steroid Hackneyed: A Case study
Type of the Article	Case study

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrop.com/index.php/AJRROP/editorial-policy> )

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>ABSTRACT:</b> Line 6 --- the gender of the patient is written as "female". However, the same is written as "male" in the case report (line 1). This needs correction and the gender of the patient should be same at both places</p> <p><b>Line 9</b> --- it is written as "IOP on higher side in both eyes". However, the IOP is written as the same is written as " Intraocular pressure was 18 mmHg in both eyes" in the case report (line 15). Both readings are contradictory to the diagnosis of suspected glaucoma.</p> <p><b>CASE REPORT:</b> Line 12 --- the findings of ptosis, head tilt to the right side, restriction of dextro-elevation movement indicate the possibility of ocular myasthenia gravis (OMG). However, "Icepack test and Cogan twitch sign were negative at the time of presentation" are not supporting the diagnosis of ptosis. Moreover, "Fatigue test and Tensilon test" were also not performed which help in diagnosis of OMG. The confirmatory test mentioned in Introduction (para 2, lines1 and 2), "Anti acetylcholine receptor antibodies (AChR Abs)" test was also not performed in this patient. Therefore, the diagnosis of OMG is to be explained.</p> <p>All the ocular movements shown in the figure 1 appear normal.</p> <p>Therefore, it is better to include separate photos (1) left eye showing mild ptosis in the primary gaze along with slight head tilt and head turn towards right side, and (2) mild restriction of eye movement on dextro-elevation are included (in place of so many photos shown in figure 1). They will provide the abnormal signs in the eyes for easy understanding by the readers.</p> <p><b>LEGEND FOR FIGURES:</b> should be written for all three figures.</p> <p><b>WEKNESSES OF THIS CASE REPORT:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(1) Diagnosis of ptosis (no positive confirmation test)</li> <li>(2) Diagnosis of Glaucoma (only one finding of CD ratio 0.05:1 is present which indicates only suspicion; intraocular pressure is normal and visual field is also normal) in both eyes.</li> <li>(3) No clinical findings or laboratory evidence of mild stunted growth</li> <li>(4) Follow up findings of the patient written in the last paragraph of the case report (improvement of eye signs and growth of patient).</li> </ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>All the words in black colour with line across them should be removed.</p> <p>All the words in blue colour should be kept in the paper.</p> <p>All the words in red colour should be answered by the author.</p>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p>As per the "Dictionary.com" the definition of the word "hackneyed" is ...</p> <p>Adjective-- made commonplace or trite; stale; banal:</p> <p>The synonyms for "hackneyed" on Thesaurus.com are... banal, corny, stale, threadbare, timeworn, trite, well-worn, antiquated, common, commonplace, conventional, everyday, hokey, moth-eaten, obsolete, old, outdated, outmoded, out-of-date, overworked</p> <p>TITLE : If you go through the words written above, the title of this paper does not convey anything special about the case.</p>	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Sagili Chandrasekhara Reddy</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>National Defence University of Malaysia, Malaysia</b>