

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Gastroenterology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRRGA_94425
Title of the Manuscript:	Isolated pancreatic tuberculosis: A diagnostic challenge
Type of the Article	Case study

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrrga.com/index.php/AJRRGA/editorial-policy> )

**Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p><i>Case study: isolated pancreatic tuberculosis: A diagnostic challenge</i></p> <p>Abstract- We first report the case of a 27 years old woman (it needs modification), abdominal lymphadenopathy to change to the pleural form. No short form in the abstract, please. Instaure?? Last line 2<sup>nd</sup> word. Need a change</p> <p>Introduction 2<sup>nd</sup> and third lines-Isolated Pancreatic tuberculosis is the isolated involvement of the pancreas by the mycobacterium tuberculosis in the absence of involvement of any other organ or previously identified TB---- isolated is shown twice- need modification- <b>like singular lesion in the pancreas without any such detected similar pathology any other organ system.</b> an immunocompetents--- an immunocompetent. <b>The introduction is too short.</b></p> <p>Cases- Observation-1. Physical examination revealed the otherwise unremarkable <b>need to change.</b> CT scan was the only way to suspect the diagnosis, hence a better-enlarged image with their dimensional image was given with the proper labeling. When a laparotomy was done, a per-operative photograph showing the pancreas with enlarged lymph nodes would have been appropriate. A biopsy micro-photograph is the diagnosis and such an image is essential. The drugs are always given per Kg of body weight. An appropriate modification is required.</p> <p>Observation-2 Fist-line- "ganglionic tuberculosis", can be replaced by lymph nodal tuberculosis [ with the lymph node area mentioned]. <b>The drugs and duration of therapy decide the adequacy of therapy.</b> <b>Tuberculin test is irrelevant after BCG vaccine and after one attack, so such mention carries no meaning.</b> <b>Images speak on case reports. A single image of your CT scan and a TB granuloma with pancreatic tissue is all necessary.</b> <b>Drugs to be as per the body weight. The authors have not mentioned the weight of the patients anywhere.</b></p> <p>Discussion- First and second-line- "abdominal tuberculosis represents 0,6% frequently involving the ileocecal region (3). PT remains uncommon and occurs for less than 5% of all tuberculosis" When the abdominal TB is 0.6%, How can a rare pancreatic lesion be &lt; 5%? This means&gt; 0.6%. The discussion is of poor quality and needs a little more elaboration.</p> <p>References- It is not as per the journal style, Rectification may be done. One is corrected below. 1-Cho SB. Pancreatic tuberculosis presenting with pancreatic cystic tumor: a case report and review of the literature. Korean J Gastroenterol. 2009 May;53(5):324-8. doi: 10.4166/kjg.2009.53.5.324. PMID: 19458471. Cho SB. Pancreatic tuberculosis presenting with pancreatic cystic tumor: a case report and review of the literature. Korean J Gastroenterol. 2009;53:324-8. PMID: 19458471.doi: ;10.4166/kjg.2009.53.5.324. *  <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Presently- DOI or PMID helps quick search.</li> </ul> </p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 1.6**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>A K Pujahari</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Rajeev Gandhi University of Health Sciences, India</b>