

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Nursing and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRNH_94709
Title of the Manuscript:	People's Preferences for Traditional, Spiritual, Religious, and Folk Healers for Oncological Disorders
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrn.com/index.php/AJRNH/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>1. The English need improvement since there are some grammatical and syntax errors in the manuscript. For example, the words "terminologies was" may be as "terminologies were"; "the healers" as "healers"; "of sick" as "of a sick"; "to late" as "to the late"; "Literature" as "The literature"; "celebrity" as "a celebrity"; "Rationale" as "The rationale"; "that at" as "that"; "do people" as "people"; "Articles written" as "Articles are written"; "the shirt" as "a shirt"; "management" as "the management"; "on patients" as "to patients"; "harmful for" as "harmful to"; "with cancer" as "with a cancer"; "treatment for" as "the treatment of"; "the Muslim" as "Muslim"; "the northern" as "northern"; "removal" as "the removal"; "and thereby" as "thereby"; "effects the" as "effects of the"; "of which" as "which"; "get rid of the of" as "gets rid of"; "for seven" as "seven"; "drain out" as "drain"; "to allow" as "allow"; "use of" as "the use of"; "in test" as "in the test"; "grass to" as "grass"; "considered having" as "considered to have"; "cause for" as "cause of"; "to perform" as "perform"; "for patient" as "for the patient"; "be at" as "be in"; "the cancer" as "cancer"; "via or scraping of" as "or scraping"; "for relieve of" as "to relief of"; "an improved" as "improved"; "the spiritual" as "spiritual"; "the difficult" as "difficult"; "outcomes spirituality (31) report" as "outcomes of spirituality (31) reports"; "have shown" as "have been shown"; "psychological fulfillment" as "with psychological fulfilment"; "lack of" as "the lack of"; "and cure" as "and its cure"; "discuss with" as "discuss them with"; "of or being" as "of being"; "stigmatized" as "and stigmatized"; "the husband" as "their husband"; "the women" as "women"; "to another" as "another"; "the individuals" as "individuals"; "the healers" as "healers"; "the traditional" as "traditional"; "and are" as "and"; "for late" as "to late". The grammar mistakes which are not mentioned here are also to be checked and corrected properly.</p> <p>2. There are some typing mistakes as well, and authors are advised to carefully proof-read the text. For example, the words "versus" may be as "verses"; "perception" as "perceptions"; "Key words" as "Keywords"; "People" as "People's"; "controls this" as "control this"; "process three" as "process, three"; "type of" as "types of"; "trainings" as "training"; "patients" as "patients"; "prayer" as "prayer"; "instruct" as "instructing"; "cancer related" as "cancer-related"; "purifies" as "purifying"; "use to" as "used to"; "this the" as "this, the"; "drinking" as "drink"; "et al.," as "et al."; "mid-night" as "midnight"; "process the" as "process, the"; "is place" as "is placed"; "sitting the" as "sitting, the"; "follow up" as "follow-up"; "Muslims" as "Muslim"; "includes" as "include"; "wellbeing" as "well-being"; "lead them" as "leads them"; "to delay" as "to delays"; "individual do" as "individuals do"; "to lack" as "to a lack"; "procedure also" as "procedures also"; "to opt" as "to opting"; "they acquired" as "they acquire"; "perception lead" as "perceptions lead". The typos not mentioned here are also to be checked and corrected properly.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>1. Check the abbreviations throughout the manuscript and introduce the abbreviation when the full word appears the first time in the abstract and the remaining for the text and then use only the abbreviation (For example, International Association of Cancer Registries (IACR), etc.). Make a word abbreviated in the article that is repeated at least three times in the text, not all words need to be abbreviated.</p> <p>2. The reference are not cited properly it should be checked and corrected properly. For example, the volume or page numbers is not given for few references (For example, reference number 17) and for few references the end pages are not given properly. It should be carefully checked and corrected as per the journal format.</p>	
Optional/General comments	The authors have put effort to evaluate the "People's Preferences for Traditional, Spiritual, Religious, and Folk Healers for Oncological Disorders". The authors describe the research undertaken with this in an organized manner, emphasizing the results obtained by them. The article needs modification for better cohesion of information to achieve the goal and the minor shortcomings which need to be considered. Hence, the paper can be accepted after MINOR REVISIONS are carried out.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	A. Vijaya Anand
Department, University & Country	Bharathiar University, India