

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Zoology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRIZ_94765
Title of the Manuscript:	Larvicidal activities of Merremia dissecta (Jacquin) Hallier f. and Peltrophorum pterocarpum (DC.) Backer ex k. Heyne leaves against Aedes aegypti (Diptera: Culicidae)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajriz.com/index.php/AJRIZ/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1- All information in the article must be from a reliable source, and all references used must be mentioned.2- Should be added group of recent scientific studies to the discussion that confirm and support the results of this research.3- Updating the used references and replacing the old references with new ones.	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1- Should be replaced introduction references such as 2, 16 and 18- 20 because it is very old.2- Should be replaced methodology references such as 33 and 41 because it is very old.3- Should be replaced discussion references such as 53 , 57 and 60 because it is very old.4- It is preferable to divide the discussion into paragraphs rather than a full text.5- Add some figures that helps the study.	
Optional/General comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1- It is preferable to suffice with the latest references and delete the rest from the same paragraph.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shaimaa Hassan Negm
Department, University & Country	Port Said University, Egypt