

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Zoology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRIZ_94765
Title of the Manuscript:	Larvicidal activities of <i>Merremia dissecta</i> (Jacquin) Hallier f. and <i>Peltrophorum pterocarpum</i> (DC.) Backer ex k. Heyne leaves against <i>Aedes aegypti</i> (Diptera: Culicidae)
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajriz.com/index.php/AJRIZ/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>-ABSTRACT: write the comma after 24 hr (second line of the Methodology). Capitalize the names of the entities.</p> <p>- INTRODUCTION: the names of the entities are capitalized (highlighted in yellow), and in the case of the entity filariasis, it should be capitalized with o, since the current trend is to masculinize terms/entities (line 5, first paragraph). The word larviciding should be lowercase (line 4, second paragraph). The fourth paragraph is too long. Revise [26]; [27], since they are incorrect, the correct is [26,27], and the paragraph ends with a period, not a semicolon.</p> <p>- METHODOLOGY: Capitalize the name of the genus <i>ad</i> (first paragraph, line 5). Incorrect way of stating the unit of measurement ml, the correct one is mL (first paragraph, line 8, second paragraph, line 7, as well as the paragraph of section 2.4, lines 13 and 14), and the paragraph is also very long.</p> <p>- RESULTS: headings, subheadings, epigraphs, paragraphs, etc., are written without periods.</p> <p>- DISCUSSION: very long paragraph. Revise the scientific name <i>Colocasia esculenta</i> (highlighted in yellow, first paragraph, line 22), since it is used for the second time, so that the initial letter of the genus followed by the period is sufficient.</p>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rigoberto Fimia Duarte
Department, University & Country	University of Medical Sciences of Villa Clara, Cuba