

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Dermatological Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRDES_94936
Title of the Manuscript:	A RARE CASE REPORT ON BENIGN REACTIVE VASCULAR LESION WITH OSSEOUS METAPLASIA
Type of the Article	CASE REPORT

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrdes.com/index.php/AJRDES/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>1-abstract – is short, inconclusive- missing the anatomical area presented with the lesion, furthermore missing some interesting parts which might be interesting for the reader- shape, size, symptoms, something special about the case?</p> <p>2-introduction- what about mechanical stimuli and some patient related parafunctions.dysfunctions?</p> <p>2-introduction should include at the last sentence of introduction – on what exactly this case report is about?</p> <p>3- patient information chapter should be changed to case presentation, then followed by subsequent schedule of events</p> <p>4- patient information and history are copying the same sentence in the beginning!</p> <p>5- cy photographs+ more detailed info should be updated</p> <p>6-what about the surgical specimen- what was the final diagnosis? Was it NPL? What about the surgical margins R0/ R1? Why acrylic plate was made – not better to close the gap tightly with bihat fat pad while its not NPL and not RTH-therapy is needed?</p> <p>7- diagnostic modalities with differential diagnostics should be written in the introduction section while presenting this type of vascular anomalies?</p> <p>8- the type of surgical removal of this lesion presented in the following case report is worrisome – no detailed data how was the lesion removed? With a blade? With a saw? With electro-knife/colorado spray? Please write more detailed and specific info</p> <p>9- there is no discussion section where authors discuss their case, results with other world/known published in literature cases</p> <p>10-conclusions should be improved</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kamil Nelke
Department, University & Country	Hospital Emc, Poland