

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCOS_94876
Title of the Manuscript:	Student's Performance Prediction in Final Examination Using Real Dataset: A Deep Learning Approach
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrcos.com/index.php/AJRCOS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>Add some Limitations from the related work in text or critical analysis table to strengthen the research gap/problem.</p> <p>Section III Proposed solution should have text discussion before placing figure directly in start of the section.</p> <p>Generate Accuracy graph from the table related to other ML algorithm used to test accuracy.</p> <p>The author said Fig. 4. shows the original and predicted result. But in the paper the figure 4 only present the predicted result line not the original result to see comparison.</p> <p>Add some latest references in the paper and cite them as no reference from the year 2013 to 2022 is found cited in the list.</p> <p>Authors should add more details about the dataset they have created like total number of records, Level of study program considered etc.</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Correct section and sub section numbering follow same structure for all the section and sub sections either number or Alphabets	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ahthasham Sajid
Department, University & Country	Baluchistan University of Information, Technology Engineering and Management Sciences, Pakistan