

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Probability and Statistics</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPAS_94847
Title of the Manuscript:	SOME THEOREMS ON THE Demeanour OF PROBABILISTIC UNCERTAINTY-LIKE FUNCTIONAL UNDER THE BOUNDS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajpas.com/index.php/AJPAS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Abstract first line is to be written again. Definition of uncertainty means to be written again in proper language</li> <li>One section on important definitions and literature review to be included</li> <li>Introduction is to be written again. Authors should specify the work done by others, what they did, how they get motivation to write this paper. Last paragraph of introductions should give organization of the paper. Like, in first section we present this, ...in section ii , we present this....</li> <li>One must write down the future prospects of the paper in conclusion.</li> <li>Acknowledgements if any, should be provided after conclusion.</li> <li>Very old and very few references are given. Plz include new developments in this field. And include that in introduction part.</li> <li>Write the conclusion part again, clearly specifying the work done by authors and how it is different from other researchers' work.</li> </ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Fourth line in abstract has a typographical error. In section 2: instead of "our results", write "theoretical development.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kavita Gupta
Department, University & Country	Kirori Mal College, University of Delhi, India