

Modified Ratio Estimators for Population Means with Two Auxiliary Parameters using Calibration Weights

ABSTRACT

Many researchers have used different auxiliary parameters such as coefficient of variation, coefficient of kurtosis, coefficient of skewness, quartiles, deciles etc., to improve the precision of estimators under various sampling schemes. This paper suggested a class of ratio estimators with two known auxiliary variable parameters for the estimation of population means under a simple random sample without replacement (SRSWOR) using the calibration weighting method. The calibrated weight was obtained using a new calibration constraint, which includes the known standard deviation of the auxiliary variable. The biases and mean square errors of the proposed estimators were derived and compared with the biases and mean square errors of the existing modified ratio estimators in Upadhyaya & Singh (1999), Singh (2003), Lu & Yan (2014), and Yan & Tian (2010). Furthermore, we derived the condition for which the proposed estimators perform better than the existing estimators. The results from using real data sets showed that the suggested estimators perform better than the existing ratio estimators.

Key words: Calibration; Estimator; Stratified sampling; Ratio; Mean square error; Bias.

1. Introduction

The improvement in the precision of estimates of population parameters in sampling theory is a continuous issue. Kanwai, Asiribo, & Isah, (2016) established that by increasing the sampling size, the precision of the estimate can be improved, but the cost of the sampling survey increases by doing so, therefore an appropriate estimation procedure that makes use of an auxiliary parameter which is closely related to the study variable can be used to increase the precision of the estimates. In survey sampling, the availability of more auxiliary information can be used to further increase the precision of an estimate by adjusting the design weights based on all the auxiliary information (Rao, Khan & Khan 2012). Calibration is one of the methods in survey sampling that can be used to achieve this

purpose. Calibration weighting was originally developed as a method for reducing sampling errors while retaining randomization consistency (Kott, 2006). This procedure adjusts the sampling weights by multipliers known as calibration factors that make the estimates agree with known totals. In the literature, many researchers including Yan & Tian (2010), Kadilar (2006), Sarndal (2007), Upadhyaya and Singh (1999), Singh (2003), Lu and Yan (2014), Koyuncu & Kadilar (2013), Subramani (2013), Kim & Rao (2012), and Deville & Sarndal (1992) etc., have contributed to the improvement of estimators precision using auxiliary parameters. In this paper, we obtained a calibrated weight using a new calibration constraint, which includes the known standard deviation of the auxiliary variable. A class of ratio estimator with two known auxiliary variable parameters for estimation of population means under simple random sample without replacement (SRSWOR) was suggested using the calibration weight obtained. The biases and mean square errors (MSE) of the proposed estimators were derived and used to check the efficiency compared to some existing modified ratio estimators.

2. Notation definition

Let population $\Omega = \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$, and let a probability sample s be drawn with sampling design denoted by p , and the probabilities of inclusion $f_i = \Pr(i \in s)$. For the i^{th} population unit, let y_i be the value of the variable of interest and x_i be the value of the auxiliary variable associated with this unit. Let μ_y and μ_x be the population means of y and x respectively.

N = Population size, n = Sample size, $f = n/N$ = Sampling fraction, Y – Study variable,

X – Auxiliary variable, μ_x and μ_y – Population means, x and y - Sample totals,

\bar{x} and \bar{y} – Sample means, σ_x and σ_y – Population standard deviations,

C_x and C_y – Coefficient of variations, and ρ – Coefficient of correlation,

$$\beta_1 = \frac{N \sum_{i=1}^N (x_i - \mu_x)^3}{(N-1)(N-2)\sigma_x^3} = \text{Coefficient of skewness of the auxiliary variable}$$

$$\beta_2 = \frac{N(N+1) \sum_1^N (x_i - \mu_x)^4}{(N-1)(N-2)(N-3)\sigma_x^4} - \frac{3(N-1)^2}{(N-2)(N-3)} = \text{Coefficient of kurtosis of the auxiliary variable}$$

$B(\cdot)$ – Bias of the estimator, $MSE(\cdot)$ – Mean squared error of the estimator

3. Proposed ratio estimators

In this section, we proposed a modified generalized class of ratio estimator of population mean in simple random sampling using two parameters of the auxiliary variable and also obtained the bias and the mean square errors.

The calibration weights W_i are chosen by minimizing the average distance L

$$L = \sum_{i=1}^n (w_i - d_i)^2 / (d_i q_i) \quad (1)$$

while satisfying a calibration constraint

$$\sum_{i=1}^n W_i \mu_x = \sigma_x \quad (2)$$

which gives the calibration weight in simple random sampling as

$$W_i = d_i + \frac{\bar{X} d_i q_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n \mu_x^2 d_i q_i} \left(\sigma_x - \sum_{i=1}^n d_i \mu_x \right) \quad (3)$$

where W_i is the design weight such that $0 < W_i < 1$, S_x is the population standard deviation, the design weight $d_i = 1/\pi_1$, where the q_i 's are known positive weights unrelated to d_i . The inclusion probability is denoted $\pi_1 = n/N$ so that $d_i = N/n$

According to Deville & Sarndal (1992), the calibrated estimator of the population mean μ_y was given as:

$$\hat{Y}_{DS} = \sum_{i=1}^n W_i y_i \quad (4)$$

Substituting (3) into (4), and setting $q_i = \mu_x^{-1}$ gives the proposed class of ratio estimators (\hat{Y}_k) for estimating the population mean under SRSWOR as

$$\hat{Y}_k = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n d_i y_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n d_i \mu_x} \sigma_x \left[\frac{A\mu_x + B}{A\bar{x} + B} \right] \quad (5)$$

where A and B can either be real values or known population parameters of the auxiliary variable such as coefficient of skewness (β_{1x}), coefficient of kurtosis (β_{2x}), coefficient of variation (C_x), correlation coefficient (ρ_{xy}), median (M_x), standard deviation (σ_x), quartiles (Q_x) etc.

To obtain the bias and the MSE of (\hat{Y}_k) , up to the first order of approximation, we define

$$\bar{x} = \mu_x (1 + \Delta_x), \bar{y} = \mu_y (1 + \Delta_y),$$

such that

$$E(\Delta_x) = E(\Delta_y) = 0$$

$$E(\Delta_x^2) = C_x^2, E(\Delta_y^2) = C_y^2, E(\Delta_x \Delta_y) = \rho_{xy} C_x C_y$$

expressing (5) in terms of Δ_x and Δ_y we have

$$\hat{Y}_k = \frac{\mu_y (1 + \Delta_y)}{\mu_x} \sigma_x \left[\frac{A\mu_x + B}{A\mu_x (1 + \Delta_x) + B} \right]$$

$$\hat{Y}_k = (\mu_y \sigma_x + \mu_y \Delta_y \sigma_x) (\mu_x)^{-1} \left[\frac{A\mu_x + B}{(A\mu_x + B) \left(1 + \frac{A\mu_x \Delta_x}{A\mu_x + B} \right)} \right] \quad (6)$$

Let $V_k = \frac{A\mu_x}{A\mu_x + B}$

Substitute V_k into (6), we have

$$\hat{Y}_k = (\mu_y \sigma_x + \mu_y \sigma_x \Delta_y) (1 + V_k \Delta_x)^{-1} (\mu_x)^{-1} \quad (7)$$

If we assume, $|\Delta_x| < 1$ and $|\Delta_y| < 1$, the expression $(1 + V_k \Delta_x)^{-1}$ can be expanded to a convergent infinite series using binomial expansion. Expanding the term Δ 's up to power 2, hence,

$$[1 + V_k \Delta_x]^{-1} = (1 - V_k \Delta_x + V_k^2 \Delta_x^2)$$

$$\hat{Y}_k = (\mu_y \sigma_x + \mu_y \sigma_x \Delta_y) (1 - V_k \Delta_x + V_k^2 \Delta_x^2) (\mu_x)^{-1} \quad (8)$$

$$= R\sigma_x + R\Delta_y \sigma_x - RV_k \sigma_x \Delta_x - RV_k \sigma_x \Delta_x \Delta_y + RV_k^2 \sigma_x \Delta_x^2 \quad (9)$$

Subtracting μ_y from both sides of (9) and taking the expectation, the bias of the estimator (\hat{Y}_k) to the first degree of approximation is

$$\begin{aligned} B(\hat{Y}_k) &= E(\hat{Y}_k - \mu_y) \\ &= E(R\sigma_x + R\Delta_y \sigma_x - RV_k \sigma_x \Delta_x - RV_k \sigma_x \Delta_x \Delta_y + RV_k^2 \sigma_x \Delta_x^2 - \mu_y) \\ B(\hat{Y}_k) &= \frac{1-f}{n} (R\sigma_x - RV_k \sigma_x C_x C_y \rho_{xy} + RV_k^2 \sigma_x C_x^2 - \mu_y) \\ &= \frac{1-f}{n} \mu_y (C_x - V_k C_x^2 C_y \rho_{xy} + V_k^2 C_x^3 - 1) \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

From (9) the mean square error of the estimator (\hat{Y}_k) to the first degree of approximation is

$$\begin{aligned} MSE(\hat{Y}_k) &= E(\hat{Y}_k - \mu_y)^2 \\ &= E(R\sigma_x + R\Delta_y \sigma_x - RV_k \sigma_x \Delta_x - RV_k \sigma_x \Delta_x \Delta_y + RV_k^2 \sigma_x \Delta_x^2 - \mu_y)^2 \\ MSE(\hat{Y}_k) &= \frac{1-f}{n} \left(R^2 C_x + 3R^2 V_k^2 \sigma_x^2 C_x^2 - 4R^2 V_k \sigma_x^2 C_x C_y \rho_{xy} - 2R\mu_y \sigma_x + R^2 \sigma_x^2 C_x^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. - 2R\mu_y V_k^2 \sigma_x C_x^2 + 2R\mu_y V_k \sigma_x C_x C_y \rho_{xy} + \mu_y^2 \right) \\ &= \frac{1-f}{n} \mu_y^2 (1 - 2C_x + C_x^2 + C_x^2 C_y^2 - 2V_k^2 C_x^3 + 3V_k^2 C_x^4 + 2V_k C_x^2 C_y \rho_{xy} - 4V_k C_x^3 C_y \rho_{xy}) \\ &= \frac{1-f}{n} \mu_y^2 [1 + C_x^2 (1 + C_y^2) - 2C_x (1 + V_k^2 C_x^2 - V_k C_x C_y \rho_{xy}) + V_k C_x^3 (3V_k C_x - 4C_y \rho_{xy})] \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

To the first degree of approximation the biases and mean square errors (MSEs) of the proposed set of estimators are given as

$$B\left(\hat{Y}_k\right)=\frac{1-f}{n} \mu_y\left(C_x-V_k C_x^2 C_y \rho_{xy}+V_k^2 C_x^3-1\right)$$

$$MSE\left(\hat{Y}_k\right)=\frac{1-f}{n} \mu_y^2\left[1+C_x^2\left(1+C_y^2\right)-2 C_x\left(1+V_k^2 C_x^2-V_k C_x C_y \rho_{xy}\right)+V_k C_x^3\left(3 V_k C_x-4 C_y \rho_{xy}\right)\right] \quad (12)$$

where $V_k = \frac{A\mu_x}{A\mu_x + B}$

3.1 Analytical study

The existing ratio estimators considered in this work and the proposed estimators are given in Table 1 with their respective auxiliary variables, Table 2 consists of the bias of the proposed and existing ratio estimators with their constants, while Table 3 consists of the mean square errors of the proposed and existing ratio estimators with their constants.

The MSEs of the proposed estimators are compared with the MSEs of some existing estimators as listed in Table 1. The proposed estimator \hat{Y}_k in (7) will be better than the existing estimators in Table

1 if and only if $MSE\left(\hat{Y}_k\right) < MSE\left(\hat{Y}_j\right)$, that is if

$$f_j \hat{Y}^2\left(1+C_x^2+C_x^2 C_y^2+3 V_1^2 C_x^4-2 V_1^2 C_x^3-4 V_1 C_x^3 C_y \rho-2 C_x-2 V_1 C_x^2 C_y \rho\right) \leq f_1 \hat{Y}^2\left(C_y^2+\theta_{14}^2 C_x^2-2 \theta_{14} C_x C_y \rho\right)$$

$$\Rightarrow\left(1-C_x\right)^2-C_y\left(1-C_x^2\right)+2 V_k C_x C_y \rho_{xy}\left(1+C_x-2 C_x^2\right)-V_k^2 C_x^2\left(1+2 C_x-3 C_x^2\right) \leq 0$$

The percent relative efficiency (PRE) of the proposed estimators $\left(\hat{Y}_k\right)$ with respect to the existing estimators $\left(\hat{Y}_j\right)$ by Upadhyaya and Singh (1999), Singh (2003), Lu and Yan (2014), and Yan and Tian (2010) are computed as

$$\% RE\left[\hat{Y}_k\right]=\frac{MSE\left[\hat{Y}_j\right]}{MSE\left[\hat{Y}_k\right]} \times 100$$

3.3 Empirical study

Two different populations were considered in this work to assess the performances of the proposed and existing ratio estimators.

The data used for population 1 was obtained from (Murthy, 1967, p. 228). The population parameters and constants computed from the data are given as:

$N = 80, n = 15, \mu_y = 51.8264, \mu_x = 2.8513, \rho_{xy} = 0.9150, \sigma_x = 2.7042, \sigma_y = 18.3569, C_x = 0.9484, C_y = 0.3542, \beta_{1(x)} = 0.6978, \beta_{2(x)} = 1.3005$

The data for population 2 is a Household Kerosene (HHK) distribution statistics for Enugu State taken from the Nigerian Bureau of Statistics website <https://bit.ly/2JBk24f>. The data represent the price of one gallon (4.5ltrs) of the product (Y variable) and the number of trucks loaded out to the state (X variable). Data from four years are considered for this work (Jan., 2016 to Dec., 2019). The population constants computed from the data are given as:

$N = 48, n = 10, \mu_y = 1,041.8980, \mu_x = 49.4375, \rho_{xy} = -0.6124, \sigma_x = 34.7593, \sigma_y = 198.8129, C_x = 0.7031, C_y = 0.1908, \beta_{1(x)} = 1.6432, \beta_{2(x)} = 2.9879$

Based on the two data sets considered, the computation of the biases and the mean square errors of the estimators in Tables 1 were obtained. The results of the computation are presented in Table 4.

4 Discussion of Results

From Table 3, the proposed ratio estimators have smaller mean square errors and a higher percent relative efficiency when compared to the existing ratio estimators by Upadhyaya and Singh (1999), Singh (2003), Lu and Yan (2014), and Yan and Tian (2010) in the two populations. Also in population 1, the biases of the proposed estimators are smaller than that of the existing estimators. In population 2, the biases of the proposed estimators are smaller to that of the existing estimators, except for estimator \hat{Y}_8 where the bias of proposed estimator is negative.

5 Conclusion

In this paper, a class of ratio-type estimators \hat{Y}_k for estimating the population mean using two parameters of the auxiliary variable are proposed and evaluated. From the results obtained, the mean square errors of the proposed ratio-type estimators \hat{Y}_k are less than the mean square errors of the existing ratio-type estimators considered in this paper. This shows that all the proposed ratio estimators have a significant improvement on the existing ratio estimators. The results proved that the proposed estimators are better when we have two known parameters of the auxiliary variable.

References

- Deville, J. C., & Särndal, C. E. (1992). Calibration estimators in survey sampling. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 87(418), 376–382.
- Kadilar, C. (2006). An improvement in estimating the population mean by using the correlation coefficient. *Hacettepe Journal of Mathematics and Statistics*, 35(1), 103–109.
- Kanwai, M. E., Asiribo, O. E., & Isah, A. (2016). Use of auxiliary variables and asymptotically optimum estimators in double sampling. *International Journal of Statistics and Probability*, 5(3), 55–62.
- Kim, J. K., & Rao, J. N. K. (2012). Combining data from two independent surveys: A model-assisted approach. *Biometrika*, 99(1), 85–100.
- Kott, P. S. (2006). Using calibration weighting to adjust for nonresponse and coverage errors. *Survey Methodology*, 32(2), 133–142.
- Koyuncu, N., & Kadilar, C. (2013). Calibration estimator using different distance measures in stratified random sampling. *International Journal of Modern Engineering Research*, 3(1), 415–419.
- Lu, J., & Yan, Z. (2014). A class of ratio estimators of a finite population mean using two auxiliary variables. *PLoS ONE* 9(2), 9(2). <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0089538>
- Rao, D. K., Khan, M. G. M., & Khan, S. (2012). Mathematical programming on multivariate calibration estimation in stratified sampling. *Proceedings of International Conference on Mathematical, Computational and Statistical Sciences and Engineering*, 72(12), 58–62.
- Särndal, C. E. (2007). The calibration approach in survey theory and practice. *Component of Statistics Canada*, 33(2), 99–119.
- Singh, S. (2003). *Advanced Sampling Theory with Applications*. How Michael ‘Selected’ Amy. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht.
- Singh, D., & Chaudhary, F. S. (1986). *Theory and Analysis of Sample Survey Designs*. New Delhi:

New Age International Publisher.

Subramani, J. (2013). Generalized modified ratio estimator for estimation of finite population mean. *Journal of Modern Applied Statistical Methods*, 12(2), 121–155.

Upadhyaya, H. & Singh, N. (1999). A class of estimators of the population mean in survey sampling using auxiliary information. *Biometrika*, 41(5), 627–636.

Yan, Z., & Tian, B. (2010). Ratio method to the mean estimation using coefficient of skewness of auxiliary variable. *Communications in Computer and Information Science*, 106(2), 103–110.

UNDER PEER REVIEW

Table 1: Existing ratio estimators and the proposed ratio estimators

| Existing Estimators (Y_j) | Proposed Estimators (\hat{Y}_k) | A | B |
|---|---|----------------|----------------|
| $\hat{Y}_1 = \bar{y} \left[\frac{\beta_{2(x)} \bar{X} + C_x}{\beta_{2(x)} \bar{x} + C_x} \right]$ Upadhyaya and Singh (1999) | $\hat{Y}_1 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n d_i y_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n d_i \bar{X}} S_x \left[\frac{\beta_2 \bar{X} + C_x}{\beta_2 \bar{x} + C_x} \right]$ | $\beta_{2(x)}$ | C_x |
| $\hat{Y}_2 = \bar{y} \left[\frac{C_x \bar{X} + \beta_{2(x)}}{C_x \bar{x} + \beta_{2(x)}} \right]$ Upadhyaya and Singh (1999) | $\hat{Y}_2 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n d_i y_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n d_i \bar{X}} S_x \left[\frac{C_x \bar{X} + \beta_2}{C_x \bar{x} + \beta_2} \right]$ | C_x | $\beta_{2(x)}$ |
| $\hat{Y}_3 = \bar{y} \left[\frac{\beta_{1(x)} \bar{X} + C_x}{\beta_{1(x)} \bar{x} + C_x} \right]$ Yan and Tian (2010) | $\hat{Y}_3 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n d_i y_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n d_i \bar{X}} S_x \left[\frac{\beta_1 \bar{X} + C_x}{\beta_1 \bar{x} + C_x} \right]$ | $\beta_{1(x)}$ | C_x |
| $\hat{Y}_4 = \bar{y} \left[\frac{C_x \bar{X} + \beta_{1(x)}}{C_x \bar{x} + \beta_{1(x)}} \right]$ Yan and Tian (2010) | $\hat{Y}_4 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n d_i y_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n d_i \bar{X}} S_x \left[\frac{C_x \bar{X} + \beta_1}{C_x \bar{x} + \beta_1} \right]$ | C_x | $\beta_{1(x)}$ |
| $\hat{Y}_5 = \bar{y} \left[\frac{\rho_{xy} \bar{X} + C_x}{\rho_{xy} \bar{x} + C_x} \right]$ Lu and Yan (2014) | $\hat{Y}_5 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n d_i y_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n d_i \bar{X}} S_x \left[\frac{\rho \bar{X} + C_x}{\rho \bar{x} + C_x} \right]$ | ρ | C_x |
| $\hat{Y}_6 = \bar{y} \left[\frac{C_x \bar{X} + \rho}{C_x \bar{x} + \rho} \right]$ Lu and Yan (2014) | $\hat{Y}_6 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n d_i y_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n d_i \bar{X}} S_x \left[\frac{C_x \bar{X} + \rho}{C_x \bar{x} + \rho} \right]$ | C_x | ρ |
| $\hat{Y}_7 = \bar{y} \left[\frac{S_x \bar{X} + C_x}{S_x \bar{x} + C_x} \right]$ Singh (2003) | $\hat{Y}_7 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n d_i y_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n d_i \bar{X}} S_x \left[\frac{S_x \bar{X} + C_x}{S_x \bar{x} + C_x} \right]$ | S_x | C_x |
| $\hat{Y}_8 = \bar{y} \left[\frac{C_x \bar{X} + S_x}{C_x \bar{x} + S_x} \right]$ Singh (2003) | $\hat{Y}_8 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n d_i y_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n d_i \bar{X}} S_x \left[\frac{C_x \bar{X} + S_x}{C_x \bar{x} + S_x} \right]$ | C_x | S_x |
| $\hat{Y}_9 = \bar{y} \left[\frac{\beta_{1(x)} \bar{X} + \beta_{2(x)}}{\beta_{1(x)} \bar{x} + \beta_{2(x)}} \right]$ Yan and Tian (2010) | $\hat{Y}_9 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n d_i y_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n d_i \bar{X}} S_x \left[\frac{\beta_1 \bar{X} + \beta_2}{\beta_1 \bar{x} + \beta_2} \right]$ | $\beta_{1(x)}$ | $\beta_{2(x)}$ |
| $\hat{Y}_{10} = \bar{y} \left[\frac{\beta_{2(x)} \bar{X} + \beta_{1(x)}}{\beta_{2(x)} \bar{x} + \beta_{1(x)}} \right]$ Yan and Tian (2010) | $\hat{Y}_{10} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n d_i y_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n d_i \bar{X}} S_x \left[\frac{\beta_2 \bar{X} + \beta_1}{\beta_2 \bar{x} + \beta_1} \right]$ | $\beta_{2(x)}$ | $\beta_{1(x)}$ |

| | | | |
|--|---|----------------|----------------|
| $\hat{Y}_{11} = \bar{y} \left[\frac{\rho \bar{X} + \beta_{2(x)}}{\rho \bar{x} + \beta_{2(x)}} \right]$ <p>Lu and Yan (2014)</p> | $\hat{Y}_{11} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n d_i y_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n d_i \bar{X}} S_x \left[\frac{\rho \bar{X} + \beta_2}{\rho \bar{x} + \beta_2} \right]$ | ρ | $\beta_{2(x)}$ |
| $\hat{Y}_{12} = \bar{y} \left[\frac{\beta_{2(x)} \bar{X} + \rho}{\beta_{2(x)} \bar{x} + \rho} \right]$ <p>Lu and Yan (2014)</p> | $\hat{Y}_{12} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n d_i y_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n d_i \bar{X}} S_x \left[\frac{\beta_2 \bar{X} + \rho}{\beta_2 \bar{x} + \rho} \right]$ | $\beta_{2(x)}$ | ρ |
| $\hat{Y}_{13} = \bar{y} \left[\frac{S_x \bar{X} + \beta_{2(x)}}{S_x \bar{x} + \beta_{2(x)}} \right]$ <p>Singh (2003)</p> | $\hat{Y}_{13} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n d_i y_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n d_i \bar{X}} S_x \left[\frac{S_x \bar{X} + \beta_2}{S_x \bar{x} + \beta_2} \right]$ | S_x | $\beta_{2(x)}$ |
| $\hat{Y}_{14} = \bar{y} \left[\frac{\beta_{2(x)} \bar{X} + S_x}{\beta_{2(x)} \bar{x} + S_x} \right]$ <p>Singh (2003)</p> | $\hat{Y}_{14} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n d_i y_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n d_i \bar{X}} S_x \left[\frac{\beta_2 \bar{X} + S_x}{\beta_2 \bar{x} + S_x} \right]$ | $\beta_{2(x)}$ | S_x |
| $\hat{Y}_{15} = \bar{y} \left[\frac{S_x \bar{X} + \beta_{1(x)}}{S_x \bar{x} + \beta_{1(x)}} \right]$ <p>Singh (2003)</p> | $\hat{Y}_{15} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n d_i y_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n d_i \bar{X}} S_x \left[\frac{S_x \bar{X} + \beta_1}{S_x \bar{x} + \beta_1} \right]$ | S_x | $\beta_{1(x)}$ |
| $\hat{Y}_{16} = \bar{y} \left[\frac{\beta_{1(x)} \bar{X} + S_x}{\beta_{1(x)} \bar{x} + S_x} \right]$ <p>Singh (2003)</p> | $\hat{Y}_{16} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n d_i y_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n d_i \bar{X}} S_x \left[\frac{\beta_1 \bar{X} + S_x}{\beta_1 \bar{x} + S_x} \right]$ | $\beta_{1(x)}$ | S_x |

Table 2: The constant and bias of the Existing and Proposed ratio estimators $\left(f_j = \frac{1-f}{n}\right)$

| Constants(θ_i) | Existing Bias B(.) | Constants V_i | Proposed Bias B(.) |
|--|--|--|--|
| $\theta_1 = \frac{\beta_{2(x)}\bar{X}}{\beta_{2(x)}\bar{X} + C_x}$ | $f_1\bar{Y}(\theta_1^2 C_x^2 - \theta_1 C_x C_y \rho)$ | $V_1 = \frac{\beta_2 \bar{X}}{\beta_2 \bar{X} + C_x}$ | $f_j \bar{Y} (C_x - V_1 C_x^2 C_y \rho + V_1^2 C_x^3 - 1)$ |
| $\theta_2 = \frac{C_x \bar{X}}{C_x \bar{X} + \beta_{2(x)}}$ | $f_1\bar{Y}(\theta_2^2 C_x^2 - \theta_2 C_x C_y \rho)$ | $V_2 = \frac{C_x \bar{X}}{C_x \bar{X} + \beta_2}$ | $f_j \bar{Y} (C_x - V_2 C_x^2 C_y \rho + V_2^2 C_x^3 - 1)$ |
| $\theta_3 = \frac{\beta_{1(x)}\bar{X}}{\beta_{1(x)}\bar{X} + C_x}$ | $f_1\bar{Y}(\theta_3^2 C_x^2 - \theta_3 C_x C_y \rho)$ | $V_3 = \frac{\beta_1 \bar{X}}{\beta_1 \bar{X} + C_x}$ | $f_j \bar{Y} (C_x - V_3 C_x^2 C_y \rho + V_3^2 C_x^3 - 1)$ |
| $\theta_4 = \frac{C_x \bar{X}}{C_x \bar{X} + \beta_{1(x)}}$ | $f_1\bar{Y}(\theta_4^2 C_x^2 - \theta_4 C_x C_y \rho)$ | $V_4 = \frac{C_x \bar{X}}{C_x \bar{X} + \beta_1}$ | $f_j \bar{Y} (C_x - V_4 C_x^2 C_y \rho + V_4^2 C_x^3 - 1)$ |
| $\theta_5 = \frac{\rho \bar{X}}{\rho \bar{X} + C_x}$ | $f_1\bar{Y}(\theta_5^2 C_x^2 - \theta_5 C_x C_y \rho)$ | $V_5 = \frac{\rho \bar{X}}{\rho \bar{X} + C_x}$ | $f_j \bar{Y} (C_x - V_5 C_x^2 C_y \rho + V_5^2 C_x^3 - 1)$ |
| $\theta_6 = \frac{C_x \bar{X}}{C_x \bar{X} + \rho}$ | $f_1\bar{Y}(\theta_6^2 C_x^2 - \theta_6 C_x C_y \rho)$ | $V_6 = \frac{C_x \bar{X}}{C_x \bar{X} + \rho}$ | $f_j \bar{Y} (C_x - V_6 C_x^2 C_y \rho + V_6^2 C_x^3 - 1)$ |
| $\theta_7 = \frac{S_x \bar{X}}{S_x \bar{X} + C_x}$ | $f_1\bar{Y}(\theta_7^2 C_x^2 - \theta_7 C_x C_y \rho)$ | $V_7 = \frac{S_x \bar{X}}{S_x \bar{X} + C_x}$ | $f_j \bar{Y} (C_x - V_7 C_x^2 C_y \rho + V_7^2 C_x^3 - 1)$ |
| $\theta_8 = \frac{C_x \bar{X}}{C_x \bar{X} + S_x}$ | $f_1\bar{Y}(\theta_8^2 C_x^2 - \theta_8 C_x C_y \rho)$ | $V_8 = \frac{C_x \bar{X}}{C_x \bar{X} + S_x}$ | $f_j \bar{Y} (C_x - V_8 C_x^2 C_y \rho + V_8^2 C_x^3 - 1)$ |
| $\theta_9 = \frac{\beta_{1(x)}\bar{X}}{\beta_{1(x)}\bar{X} + \beta_{2(x)}}$ | $f_1\bar{Y}(\theta_9^2 C_x^2 - \theta_9 C_x C_y \rho)$ | $V_9 = \frac{\beta_1 \bar{X}}{\beta_1 \bar{X} + \beta_2}$ | $f_j \bar{Y} (C_x - V_9 C_x^2 C_y \rho + V_9^2 C_x^3 - 1)$ |
| $\theta_{10} = \frac{\beta_{2(x)}\bar{X}}{\beta_{2(x)}\bar{X} + \beta_{1(x)}}$ | $f_1\bar{Y}(\theta_{10}^2 C_x^2 - \theta_{10} C_x C_y \rho)$ | $V_{10} = \frac{\beta_2 \bar{X}}{\beta_2 \bar{X} + \beta_1}$ | $f_j \bar{Y} (C_x - V_{10} C_x^2 C_y \rho + V_{10}^2 C_x^3 - 1)$ |
| $\theta_{11} = \frac{\rho \bar{X}}{\rho \bar{X} + \beta_{2(x)}}$ | $f_1\bar{Y}(\theta_{11}^2 C_x^2 - \theta_{11} C_x C_y \rho)$ | $V_{11} = \frac{\rho \bar{X}}{\rho \bar{X} + \beta_2}$ | $f_j \bar{Y} (C_x - V_{11} C_x^2 C_y \rho + V_{11}^2 C_x^3 - 1)$ |
| $\theta_{12} = \frac{\beta_{2(x)}\bar{X}}{\beta_{2(x)}\bar{X} + \rho}$ | $f_1\bar{Y}(\theta_{12}^2 C_x^2 - \theta_{12} C_x C_y \rho)$ | $V_{12} = \frac{\beta_2 \bar{X}}{\beta_2 \bar{X} + \rho}$ | $f_j \bar{Y} (C_x - V_{12} C_x^2 C_y \rho + V_{12}^2 C_x^3 - 1)$ |
| $\theta_{13} = \frac{S_x \bar{X}}{S_x \bar{X} + \beta_{2(x)}}$ | $f_1\bar{Y}(\theta_{13}^2 C_x^2 - \theta_{13} C_x C_y \rho)$ | $V_{13} = \frac{S_x \bar{X}}{S_x \bar{X} + \beta_2}$ | $f_j \bar{Y} (C_x - V_{13} C_x^2 C_y \rho + V_{13}^2 C_x^3 - 1)$ |
| $\theta_{14} = \frac{\beta_{2(x)}\bar{X}}{\beta_{2(x)}\bar{X} + S_x}$ | $f_1\bar{Y}(\theta_{14}^2 C_x^2 - \theta_{14} C_x C_y \rho)$ | $V_{14} = \frac{\beta_2 \bar{X}}{\beta_2 \bar{X} + S_x}$ | $f_j \bar{Y} (C_x - V_{14} C_x^2 C_y \rho + V_{14}^2 C_x^3 - 1)$ |
| $\theta_{15} = \frac{S_x \bar{X}}{S_x \bar{X} + \beta_{1(x)}}$ | $f_1\bar{Y}(\theta_{15}^2 C_x^2 - \theta_{15} C_x C_y \rho)$ | $V_{15} = \frac{S_x \bar{X}}{S_x \bar{X} + \beta_1}$ | $f_j \bar{Y} (C_x - V_{15} C_x^2 C_y \rho + V_{15}^2 C_x^3 - 1)$ |

| | | | |
|---|---|--|---|
| $\theta_{16} = \frac{\beta_{1(x)}\bar{X}}{\beta_{1(x)}\bar{X} + S_x}$ | $f_1\bar{Y}^2 (\theta_{16}^2 C_x^2 - \theta_{16} C_x C_y \rho)$ | $V_{16} = \frac{\beta_1\bar{X}}{\beta_1\bar{X} + S_x}$ | $f_j\bar{Y}^2 (C_x - V_{16} C_x^2 C_y \rho + V_{16}^2 C_x^3 - 1)$ |
|---|---|--|---|

Table 3: The constant and mean square errors of the Existing and Proposed ratio estimators $\left(f_j = \frac{1-f}{n}\right)$

| Constants(θ_i) | Existing Mean Square Error MSE(.) | Constants V_i | Proposed Mean Square Error MSE(.) |
|--|--|--|--|
| $\theta_1 = \frac{\beta_{2(x)}\bar{X}}{\beta_{2(x)}\bar{X} + C_x}$ | $f_1\hat{Y}^2 (C_y^2 + \theta_1^2 C_x^2 - 2\theta_1 C_x C_y \rho)$ | $V_1 = \frac{\beta_2\bar{X}}{\beta_2\bar{X} + C_x}$ | $f_j\hat{Y}^2 \left(1 + C_x^2 + C_x^2 C_y^2 + 3V_1^2 C_x^4 - 2V_1^2 C_x^3\right) - 4V_1 C_x^3 C_y \rho - 2C_x - 2V_1 C_x^2 C_y \rho$ |
| $\theta_2 = \frac{C_x\bar{X}}{C_x\bar{X} + \beta_{2(x)}}$ | $f_1\hat{Y}^2 (C_y^2 + \theta_2^2 C_x^2 - 2\theta_2 C_x C_y \rho)$ | $V_2 = \frac{C_x\bar{X}}{C_x\bar{X} + \beta_2}$ | $f_j\hat{Y}^2 \left(1 + C_x^2 + C_x^2 C_y^2 + 3V_2^2 C_x^4 - 2V_2^2 C_x^3\right) - 4V_2 C_x^3 C_y \rho - 2C_x - 2V_2 C_x^2 C_y \rho$ |
| $\theta_3 = \frac{\beta_{1(x)}\bar{X}}{\beta_{1(x)}\bar{X} + C_x}$ | $f_1\hat{Y}^2 (C_y^2 + \theta_3^2 C_x^2 - 2\theta_3 C_x C_y \rho)$ | $V_3 = \frac{\beta_1\bar{X}}{\beta_1\bar{X} + C_x}$ | $f_j\hat{Y}^2 \left(1 + C_x^2 + C_x^2 C_y^2 + 3V_3^2 C_x^4 - 2V_3^2 C_x^3\right) - 4V_3 C_x^3 C_y \rho - 2C_x - 2V_3 C_x^2 C_y \rho$ |
| $\theta_4 = \frac{C_x\bar{X}}{C_x\bar{X} + \beta_{1(x)}}$ | $f_1\hat{Y}^2 (C_y^2 + \theta_4^2 C_x^2 - 2\theta_4 C_x C_y \rho)$ | $V_4 = \frac{C_x\bar{X}}{C_x\bar{X} + \beta_1}$ | $f_j\hat{Y}^2 \left(1 + C_x^2 + C_x^2 C_y^2 + 3V_4^2 C_x^4 - 2V_4^2 C_x^3\right) - 4V_4 C_x^3 C_y \rho - 2C_x - 2V_4 C_x^2 C_y \rho$ |
| $\theta_5 = \frac{\rho\bar{X}}{\rho\bar{X} + C_x}$ | $f_1\hat{Y}^2 (C_y^2 + \theta_5^2 C_x^2 - 2\theta_5 C_x C_y \rho)$ | $V_5 = \frac{\rho\bar{X}}{\rho\bar{X} + C_x}$ | $f_j\hat{Y}^2 \left(1 + C_x^2 + C_x^2 C_y^2 + 3V_5^2 C_x^4 - 2V_5^2 C_x^3\right) - 4V_5 C_x^3 C_y \rho - 2C_x - 2V_5 C_x^2 C_y \rho$ |
| $\theta_6 = \frac{C_x\bar{X}}{C_x\bar{X} + \rho}$ | $f_1\hat{Y}^2 (C_y^2 + \theta_6^2 C_x^2 - 2\theta_6 C_x C_y \rho)$ | $V_6 = \frac{C_x\bar{X}}{C_x\bar{X} + \rho}$ | $f_j\hat{Y}^2 \left(1 + C_x^2 + C_x^2 C_y^2 + 3V_6^2 C_x^4 - 2V_6^2 C_x^3\right) - 4V_6 C_x^3 C_y \rho - 2C_x - 2V_6 C_x^2 C_y \rho$ |
| $\theta_7 = \frac{S_x\bar{X}}{S_x\bar{X} + C_x}$ | $f_1\hat{Y}^2 (C_y^2 + \theta_7^2 C_x^2 - 2\theta_7 C_x C_y \rho)$ | $V_7 = \frac{S_x\bar{X}}{S_x\bar{X} + C_x}$ | $f_j\hat{Y}^2 \left(1 + C_x^2 + C_x^2 C_y^2 + 3V_7^2 C_x^4 - 2V_7^2 C_x^3\right) - 4V_7 C_x^3 C_y \rho - 2C_x - 2V_7 C_x^2 C_y \rho$ |
| $\theta_8 = \frac{C_x\bar{X}}{C_x\bar{X} + S_x}$ | $f_1\hat{Y}^2 (C_y^2 + \theta_8^2 C_x^2 - 2\theta_8 C_x C_y \rho)$ | $V_8 = \frac{C_x\bar{X}}{C_x\bar{X} + S_x}$ | $f_j\hat{Y}^2 \left(1 + C_x^2 + C_x^2 C_y^2 + 3V_8^2 C_x^4 - 2V_8^2 C_x^3\right) - 4V_8 C_x^3 C_y \rho - 2C_x - 2V_8 C_x^2 C_y \rho$ |
| $\theta_9 = \frac{\beta_{1(x)}\bar{X}}{\beta_{1(x)}\bar{X} + \beta_{2(x)}}$ | $f_1\hat{Y}^2 (C_y^2 + \theta_9^2 C_x^2 - 2\theta_9 C_x C_y \rho)$ | $V_9 = \frac{\beta_1\bar{X}}{\beta_1\bar{X} + \beta_2}$ | $f_j\hat{Y}^2 \left(1 + C_x^2 + C_x^2 C_y^2 + 3V_9^2 C_x^4 - 2V_9^2 C_x^3\right) - 4V_9 C_x^3 C_y \rho - 2C_x - 2V_9 C_x^2 C_y \rho$ |
| $\theta_{10} = \frac{\beta_{2(x)}\bar{X}}{\beta_{2(x)}\bar{X} + \beta_{1(x)}}$ | $f_1\hat{Y}^2 (C_y^2 + \theta_{10}^2 C_x^2 - 2\theta_{10} C_x C_y \rho)$ | $V_{10} = \frac{\beta_2\bar{X}}{\beta_2\bar{X} + \beta_1}$ | $f_j\hat{Y}^2 \left(1 + C_x^2 + C_x^2 C_y^2 + 3V_{10}^2 C_x^4 - 2V_{10}^2 C_x^3\right) - 4V_{10} C_x^3 C_y \rho - 2C_x - 2V_{10} C_x^2 C_y \rho$ |
| $\theta_{11} = \frac{\rho\bar{X}}{\rho\bar{X} + \beta_{2(x)}}$ | $f_1\hat{Y}^2 (C_y^2 + \theta_{11}^2 C_x^2 - 2\theta_{11} C_x C_y \rho)$ | $V_{11} = \frac{\rho\bar{X}}{\rho\bar{X} + \beta_2}$ | $f_j\hat{Y}^2 \left(1 + C_x^2 + C_x^2 C_y^2 + 3V_{11}^2 C_x^4 - 2V_{11}^2 C_x^3\right) - 4V_{11} C_x^3 C_y \rho - 2C_x - 2V_{11} C_x^2 C_y \rho$ |
| $\theta_{12} = \frac{\beta_{2(x)}\bar{X}}{\beta_{2(x)}\bar{X} + \rho}$ | $f_1\hat{Y}^2 (C_y^2 + \theta_{12}^2 C_x^2 - 2\theta_{12} C_x C_y \rho)$ | $V_{12} = \frac{\beta_2\bar{X}}{\beta_2\bar{X} + \rho}$ | $f_j\hat{Y}^2 \left(1 + C_x^2 + C_x^2 C_y^2 + 3V_{12}^2 C_x^4 - 2V_{12}^2 C_x^3\right) - 4V_{12} C_x^3 C_y \rho - 2C_x - 2V_{12} C_x^2 C_y \rho$ |

| | | | |
|---|---|--|--|
| $\theta_{13} = \frac{S_x \bar{X}}{S_x \bar{X} + \beta_{2(x)}}$ | $f_1 \hat{Y}^2 (C_y^2 + \theta_{13}^2 C_x^2 - 2\theta_{13} C_x C_y \rho)$ | $V_{13} = \frac{S_x \bar{X}}{S_x \bar{X} + \beta_2}$ | $f_j \hat{Y}^2 \begin{pmatrix} 1 + C_x^2 + C_x^2 C_y^2 + 3V_{13}^2 C_x^4 - 2V_{13}^2 C_x^3 \\ -4V_{13} C_x^3 C_y \rho - 2C_x - 2V_{13} C_x^2 C_y \rho \end{pmatrix}$ |
| $\theta_{14} = \frac{\beta_{2(x)} \bar{X}}{\beta_{2(x)} \bar{X} + S_x}$ | $f_1 \hat{Y}^2 (C_y^2 + \theta_{14}^2 C_x^2 - 2\theta_{14} C_x C_y \rho)$ | $V_{14} = \frac{\beta_2 \bar{X}}{\beta_2 \bar{X} + S_x}$ | $f_j \hat{Y}^2 \begin{pmatrix} 1 + C_x^2 + C_x^2 C_y^2 + 3V_{14}^2 C_x^4 - 2V_{14}^2 C_x^3 \\ -4V_{14} C_x^3 C_y \rho - 2C_x - 2V_{14} C_x^2 C_y \rho \end{pmatrix}$ |
| $\theta_{15} = \frac{S_x \bar{X}}{S_x \bar{X} + \beta_{1(x)}}$ | $f_1 \hat{Y}^2 (C_y^2 + \theta_{15}^2 C_x^2 - 2\theta_{15} C_x C_y \rho)$ | $V_{15} = \frac{S_x \bar{X}}{S_x \bar{X} + \beta_1}$ | $f_j \hat{Y}^2 \begin{pmatrix} 1 + C_x^2 + C_x^2 C_y^2 + 3V_{15}^2 C_x^4 - 2V_{15}^2 C_x^3 \\ -4V_{15} C_x^3 C_y \rho - 2C_x - 2V_{15} C_x^2 C_y \rho \end{pmatrix}$ |
| $\theta_{16} = \frac{\beta_{1(x)} \bar{X}}{\beta_{1(x)} \bar{X} + S_x}$ | $f_1 \hat{Y}^2 (C_y^2 + \theta_{16}^2 C_x^2 - 2\theta_{16} C_x C_y \rho)$ | $V_{16} = \frac{\beta_1 \bar{X}}{\beta_1 \bar{X} + S_x}$ | $f_j \hat{Y}^2 \begin{pmatrix} 1 + C_x^2 + C_x^2 C_y^2 + 3V_{16}^2 C_x^4 - 2V_{16}^2 C_x^3 \\ -4V_{16} C_x^3 C_y \rho - 2C_x - 2V_{16} C_x^2 C_y \rho \end{pmatrix}$ |

UNDER PEER REVIEW

Table 4: The results of the biases and the mean square errors from the two populations

| Estimators | POPULATION 1 | | | | | POPULATION 2 | | | | |
|----------------|--------------|--------|----------|--------|---------|--------------|----------|----------|----------|---------|
| | Existing | | Proposed | | PRE | Existing | | Proposed | | PRE |
| | Bias | MSE | Bias | MSE | | Bias | MSE | Bias | MSE | |
| \hat{Y}_1 | 0.914 | 30.016 | 0.722 | 22.749 | 131.945 | 47.135 | 59264.90 | 8.651 | 16369.88 | 362.036 |
| \hat{Y}_2 | 0.569 | 17.528 | 0.394 | 13.269 | 132.097 | 40.816 | 52156.15 | 4.208 | 15604.22 | 334.244 |
| \hat{Y}_3 | 0.574 | 17.699 | 0.399 | 13.397 | 132.112 | 46.797 | 58886.22 | 8.414 | 16329.45 | 360.614 |
| \hat{Y}_4 | 0.910 | 29.844 | 0.718 | 22.617 | 131.954 | 43.648 | 55347.10 | 6.200 | 15949.71 | 347.010 |
| \hat{Y}_5 | 0.725 | 23.046 | 0.543 | 17.437 | 132.167 | 49.675 | 62113.26 | 10.437 | 16672.82 | 372.542 |
| \hat{Y}_6 | 0.765 | 24.484 | 0.581 | 18.529 | 132.139 | 49.149 | 61524.10 | 10.068 | 16610.33 | 370.397 |
| \hat{Y}_7 | 1.234 | 42.377 | 1.025 | 32.245 | 131.422 | 47.516 | 59692.84 | 8.919 | 16415.53 | 363.636 |
| \hat{Y}_8 | 0.200 | 6.249 | 0.045 | 4.995 | 125.105 | 13.582 | 20810.02 | -14.940 | 11954.80 | 174.073 |
| \hat{Y}_9 | 0.402 | 12.023 | 0.236 | 9.164 | 131.198 | 44.470 | 56271.57 | 6.777 | 16049.24 | 350.618 |
| \hat{Y}_{10} | 1.062 | 35.673 | 0.863 | 27.085 | 131.708 | 46.585 | 58648.34 | 8.265 | 16304.03 | 359.717 |
| \hat{Y}_{11} | 0.549 | 16.846 | 0.376 | 12.757 | 132.053 | 57.713 | 71092.61 | 16.088 | 17615.55 | 403.579 |
| \hat{Y}_{12} | 0.932 | 30.705 | 0.739 | 23.276 | 131.917 | 47.921 | 60146.61 | 9.204 | 16463.87 | 365.325 |
| \hat{Y}_{13} | 1.110 | 37.538 | 0.908 | 28.518 | 131.629 | 47.399 | 59561.49 | 8.837 | 16401.52 | 363.146 |
| \hat{Y}_{14} | 0.345 | 10.294 | 0.183 | 7.894 | 130.403 | 32.206 | 42399.55 | 1.845 | 14526.26 | 291.882 |
| \hat{Y}_{15} | 1.332 | 46.281 | 1.118 | 35.258 | 131.264 | 47.468 | 59638.74 | 8.885 | 16409.76 | 363.435 |
| \hat{Y}_{16} | 0.088 | 3.854 | 0.061 | 3.408 | 113.087 | 24.745 | 33855.13 | 7.091 | 13547.83 | 249.893 |